Reference crop evapotranspiration derived from geo-stationary satellite imagery: a case study for the Fogera flood plain, NW-Ethiopia and the Jordan Valley, Jordan
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Abstract. First results are shown of a project aiming to estimate daily values of reference crop evapotranspiration \( \text{ET}_0 \) from geo-stationary satellite imagery. In particular, for Woreta, a site in the Ethiopian highland at an elevation of about 1800 m, we tested a radiation-temperature based approximate formula proposed by Makkink (MAK), adopting \( \text{ET}_0 \) evaluated with the version of the Penman-Monteith equation described in the FAO Irrigation and Drainage paper 56 as the most accurate estimate. More precisely we used the latter with measured daily solar radiation as input (denoted by PMFAO-Rs). Our data set for Woreta concerns a period where the surface was fully covered with short green non-stressed vegetation. Our project was carried out in the context of the Satellite Application Facility on Land Surface Analysis (LANDSAF) facility. Among others, the scope of LANDSAF is to increase benefit from the EUMETSAT Satellite Meteosat Second Generation (MSG). In this study we applied daily values of downward solar radiation at the surface obtained from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) radiometer. In addition, air temperature at 2 m was obtained from 3-hourly forecasts provided by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF).

Both MAK and PMFAO-Rs contain the psychrometric “constant”, which is proportional to air pressure, which, in turn, decreases with elevation. In order to test elevation effects we tested MAK and its LANDSAF input data for 2 sites in the Jordan Valley located about 250 m b.s.l.

Except for a small underestimation of air temperature at the Ethiopian site at 1800 m, the first results of our LANDSAF-\( \text{ET}_0 \) project are promising. If our approach to derive \( \text{ET}_0 \) proves successful, then the LANDSAF will be able to initiate nearly real time free distribution of \( \text{ET}_0 \) for the full MSG disk.

1 Introduction

Nowadays the method proposed by Allen et al. (1998) to determine evaporative demands of agricultural crops has become generally recognized as the most accurate and has been adopted as a standard approach. It is a concept in which the reference evapotranspiration, \( \text{ET}_0 \), is introduced and in which the water requirements of a particular crop (\( \text{ET}_{\text{crop}} \)) are obtained with

\[
\text{ET}_{\text{crop}} = K_c \text{ET}_0
\]

where \( K_c \) is a crop factor. For a full description of this approach see Allen et al. (1998).

\( \text{ET}_0 \) is the evapotranspiration (\( \text{mm} \text{d}^{-1} \)) under the given meteorological conditions from a reference surface, notably, an extensive, hypothetical grass reference crop with specific characteristics. The methodology requires input data gathered over a horizontal extensive surface similar to the hypothetical extensive grass field. Although standard weather stations as specified by the World Meteorological Organization refer to similar grass fields, such stations are rare in semi-arid regions. The reason is obvious: it is very difficult to maintain grass in the required conditions in the dry season.
As a consequence the number of weather stations fulfilling the requirements that the input parameters are gathered over well-watered grass is small in most semi-arid regions, while the density of weather stations is sparse anyway in most of semi-arid regions.

It is the objective of this study to present an alternative methodology to derive ET$_0$ from geostationary satellite images and additional information provided by operational weather forecasts for irrigation advice purposes. In the methodology proposed here, ET$_0$ relies essentially on daily values of downward solar flux at the surface obtained from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) radiometer onboard Meteosat Second Generation (MSG), provided by the Satellite Application Facility on Land Surface Analysis (hereafter LANDSAF; see also Trigo et al., 2010). If the approach analyzed here to derive ET$_0$ proves successfully, the LANDSAF will initiate the retrieval and distribution in near real time or off-line of that parameter from SEVIRI/MSG, as described below.

It should be stressed that the alternative method to be developed is not meant to replace the current FAO-methodology by Allen et al. (1998). In contrast, this approach is highly recommended and taken as standard reference for this study. But then the input data should be collected over well-watered grass or a similar surface.

The LANDSAF-ET$_0$ activity is still under development, not at least because validation is needed under a wide range of environmental and climatic conditions. In this research note some first results of a case study for a location in Ethiopia will be presented. For this purpose we will use some data collected at Woreta in the Fogera flood plain near Lake Tana in NW Ethiopia, which has an elevation of about 1800 m. In order to study elevation effects on ET$_0$ we will use data gathered at two stations in the Jordan valley located about 250 m b.s.l. in addition.

2 The FAO Penman-Monteith equation

It is recalled that ET$_0$ is the evapotranspiration under the given meteorological conditions from a hypothetical grass reference crop with specific characteristics. By definition this reference grass crop has a height of 0.12 m, a fixed surface resistance of 70 s m$^{-1}$ and an albedo of 0.23. The reference surface closely resembles an extensive surface of green, well-watered grass of uniform height, actively growing and completely shading the ground. The fixed surface resistance of 70 s m$^{-1}$ implies a moderately dry soil surface resulting from about a weekly irrigation frequency. Allen et al. (1998) provides guidelines on how to calculate ET$_0$ from standard weather data. The methodology concerns an application of the Penman-Monteith equation (hereafter denoted as PMFAO) using the aforementioned surface resistance of 70 s m$^{-1}$ and an aerodynamic resistance given by

$$r_a = \frac{208}{u_2}$$  (2)

where $u_2$ is the wind speed at 2 m.

This relation is derived from neutral logarithmic flux-profile expressions for water vapor transfer using a roughness length for momentum $z_{0m} = 0.123 \, h$, a roughness length governing transfer of heat and vapor $z_{0h} = 0.1 \, z_{0m}$ and a zero-plane displacement $d = 2/3h$, with vegetation height $h = 0.12 \, m$. Furthermore, Eq. (2) implies that besides the wind speed, humidity and temperature data are collected at 2 m too.

For this hypothetical grass surface the Penman-Monteith model can be written as (Allen et al., 1998):

$$ET_0 = \frac{0.408 \Delta (R_n - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T + 273} u_2 (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + 0.34 u_2)}$$  (3)

in which $R_n$ is the net radiation at the crop surface (MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), $G$ is soil heat flux density (MJ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$), assumed zero on daily bases, $T$ the mean daily air temperature at 2 m height (°C), $e_s$ the saturation vapor pressure at air temperature (kPa), $e_a$ actual vapour pressure (kPa), $\Delta$ the slope of the saturation water vapor pressure - temperature curve (kPa °C$^{-1}$) and $\gamma$ is psychometric constant (kPa °C$^{-1}$). The latter is proportional to air pressure and is slightly T dependent also. Note that $D \equiv e_s - e_a$ the saturation vapor pressure deficit (kPa).

Dealing with daily mean values one should account for non-linearity effects. More or less implicitly, Allen et al. (1998) recommend solving for these effects by using the following equations:

$$\overline{e_s} = \frac{e^0 (T_{max}) + e^0 (T_{min})}{2}$$  (4)

and

$$\overline{e_a} = \frac{e^0 (T_{min}) \, RH_{max} + e^0 (T_{max}) \, RH_{min}}{2}$$  (5)

where a bar means a 24 hourly average, $e^0(T)$ is the saturation water pressure (kPa) at temperature $T$, RH is the relative humidity and the suffixes “max” and “min” indicate maximum and minimum value in a day. In general, $D$ determined from daily mean RH and $T$ is smaller than $D$ evaluated with $D \equiv \overline{e_s} - \overline{e_a}$ using Eqs. (4) and (5). Moreover, it is recommended to use $\Delta$ at the mean daily temperature.

Net radiation is given by

$$R_n = (1 - \alpha) \, R_s - R_{nl}$$  (6)

in which $\alpha$ is the albedo (assumed to be 0.23), $R_s$ the incoming short-wave radiation and $R_{nl}$ the net long-wave radiation. Following Allen et al. (1998) the latter is approximated with

$$R_{nl} = \sigma \left[ \frac{T_{max}^4 + T_{min}^4}{2} \right] (0.34 - 0.14 \sqrt{e_a})$$  (7)

$$\left(1.35 \frac{R_s}{R_{nl}} - 0.35\right)$$

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 2219–2228, 2010

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/14/2219/2010/
where \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (4.903 \( 10^{-9} \) MJ K\(^{-4}\) m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)), \( T_{\text{min}} \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) are the absolute minimum and maximum temperature (K) and \( R_{\text{s}} \) the clear-sky incoming solar radiation evaluated from the extraterrestrial radiation for daily period \( R_{\lambda} \):

\[
R_{\lambda 0} = \left( 0.75 + 2 \times 10^{-5} z \right) R_{\lambda}
\]

with \( z \) the station elevation above sea level in m.

The physics behind expression (Eq. 7) is outside the scope of this research note.

### 3 Approach

The subject of this study concerns the evapotranspiration over a hypothetical grass reference crop with the characteristics described above. Because in the real world such surfaces are non-existing, it is difficult to verify experimentally any formula for \( ET_0 \) and to develop an alternative satellite based method. Based on several lysimeter studies we are confident that PMFAO, with incoming short-wave radiation measured directly, is the most accurate \( ET_0 \) estimate. This version of the Penman-Monteith equation will be denoted as PMFAO-Rs. Our alternative method will be compared with PMFAO-Rs.

On purpose, we do not adopt as “best” \( ET_0 \) estimate PMFAO formula where net radiation is observed directly. The main reason is that net radiation depends on the actual surface temperature, by which it is affected by water stress. We will discuss this later.

So, the objective of this study is to derive a simplified \( ET_0 \) formula requiring input data that can be retrieved from remotely sensed solar radiation, in particular those available from the LANDSAT, which compares well with PMFAO-Rs.

As early as 1957 Makkink showed that the evapotranspiration of well-watered short grass in the Netherlands can be estimated with a simplified radiation based formula: that, in energy units reads as:

\[
\lambda \ ET = c_1 \ \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \ R_{\lambda} + c_2
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the latent heat of vaporization, \( c_1 \) (\( m^3 \)) and \( c_2 \) (\( W \ m^{-2} \)) are constants, \( ET \) the evapotranspiration of well-watered grass. The other quantities are defined above. The units are \( W \ m^{-2} \).

De Bruin (1987) showed that in “normal” Dutch summers when water stress is rare, the evapotranspiration of short grass at Cabauw (The Netherlands) can be estimated accurately with a simplified Makkink formula (hereafter denoted as MAK):

\[
ET_{\text{MAK}} = c_{\text{mak}} \ \frac{1}{\lambda} \ \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \ R_{\lambda}
\]

De Bruin (1987) reported that \( c_{\text{mak}} \) = 0.65. Moreover, he argued that the method is not applicable in the Dutch winter season. Later this was confirmed by Van Kraalingen and Stol (1997), who found that \( c_{\text{mak}} \) = 0.63 for the growing season in The Netherlands.

Jitan (2005) studied MAK and compared it with PMFAO and he estimated for the Jordan Valley (Dair Allah station) \( c_{\text{mak}} \) = 0.81.

Stewart et al. (1999) and Watts et al. (2000) developed a methodology for calculating \( ET_0 \) based on the Makkink approach using GOES data to estimate the solar radiation. Field measurement of water consumption for wheat and cotton in the Yaqi valley (Garatuza-Payan et al., 1998) showed good agreement with results obtained from Eq. (10). A useful product has been developed for northern Mexico (see Garatuza-Payan and Watts, 2003).

Schüttemeyer (2005) and Schüttemeyer et al. (2007) applied MAK derived from the (first generation) METEOSAT images successfully in Ghana (see also de Bruin, et al., 2005). They used both ideas by Choudhury and de Bruin (1995) and a procedure to determine the solar radiation by Schillings et al. (2004).

Jacobs et al. (2004) applied daily solar radiation estimates derived from GOES imagery as input in the Penman-Monteith equation to estimate wetland evapotranspiration. Similarly, Bois et al. (2007) applied MSG-derived solar radiation to determine \( ET_0 \). Recently, Sinclair and Pegram (2010) propose to use LANDSAT solar radiation in a numerical weather forecast model for \( ET_0 \) estimations in South Africa.

Recently, Temesgen (2009) showed that \( ET_0 \) evaluated with MAK performed well for two stations in the Fogera flood plain. Furthermore, Jitan (2004, 2005) applied MAK with a revised coefficient for stations in the Jordan Valley.

It should be stressed that experience shows that in arid conditions the Makkink formula tends to underestimate \( ET_0 \) (Allen et al., 1998; Gavilán et al., 2008; Irmak et al., 2008). The authors are well aware of this feature. These conditions will also be considered in subsequent studies.

This case study for the fairly humid location in the Fogera flood plain is meant to show some first results of the LANDSAT-\( ET_0 \) project. The elevation of this region is about 1800 m a.s.l. (above sea level). In order to investigate elevation effects on \( ET_0 \) (e.g. through the psychrometric constant \( \gamma \)) we will consider applications of our method to the Jordan Valley in Jordan also, which is located about 250 m b.s.l. Of course, other environmental differences between the two locations apart from elevation are relevant as well.

### 4 Experimental

The Fogera flood plain is located east of Lake Tana in North West Ethiopia, about 625 km from the capital Addis Ababa. The terrain is fairly flat.

record for flooded area, while 2006 flood was recorded with its long duration and damage. Heavy rain in that year caused rising of the lake level and overtopping of the rivers, and as a consequence, cattle was killed, and crops and thousands of houses were destroyed. In general, the dry season is from November through April, so the wet season is between May through September (Temesgen, 2009). The mean air pressure during the observation period was 82 kPa. A map of the flood plain is shown in Fig. 1.

In 2008 ITC installed an automatic weather station at Woreta, see Fig. 1. For the period July 2008 – early November 2008 daily data of air temperature and global radiation are available as well all input data required for PMFAO-Rs. The surface was short grass that during this period did not suffer water stress. We will also use some data gathered at Bahir Dar, a station of the national meteorological office. At this station solar radiation is not observed directly, but is estimated from measured sunshine duration. For more details see Temesgen (2009).

We will also use a set of micrometeorological data also gathered at two stations located in the Jordan Valley. The Jordan valley is Jordan’s premier agricultural production area. The mild winter in the Valley, due to its below-sea level elevation (−170 in the north down to −404 m near the Dead Sea), gives it great potential for the production of off-season fruits and vegetables. The area of the Jordan Rift Valley extends from the lake of Tabarrie in the North to the Red Sea in the South, passing through the Dead Sea. The north area of the Dead Sea is called the Jordan Valley, while the area south of it is called southern Ghors, and Wadi Arabah. Rainfall in Jordan Valley varies from 100 mm in the South to about 400 mm in the North. For more information about this irrigation region see Fardous (1983), Shatanawi et al. (1986, 1994), Ghawi and Shatanawi (1986) and Mazahreh (2001). Irrigation agriculture has been practiced in the Jordan Valley since the dawn of human civilization. The formal development of irrigated agriculture started in the early 1950s in the Zarqa Triangle. In those days scientific agricultural research institutions were established, which lead, among others, to the establishment of The National Center for Agricultural Research and Extension (NCARE) previously called NCARTT.

In the Jordan Valleys three agro-meteorological stations were installed by NCARE in the year 2000, and the data are published at the web site www.ncare.gov.jo/imis. Among other variables all input data for PMFAO-Rs are observed operationally. In this study we use data collated at Dair Allah and Karameh. The mean air pressure in the region is about 104 kPa. The Dair Allah station is located at a latitude of 32°13’ E and longitude of 35°37’ N, and an altitude of −224 m b.s.l. The annual precipitation is about 277 mm a year. This Karameh station was established in the year 1983, it is located at a latitude of 32°12’ N and longitude of 35°37’ E, and an altitude of about −240 b.s.l. The annual precipitation in this area is about 155 mm. For the locations of the stations see Fig. 2.

For this research note we used the operationally available incoming solar radiation $R_s$ or Daily Down-welling Surface
Short-wave Radiation Flux (DSSF) provided by the LANDSAF (Trigo et al., 2010). Daily $R_s$ values, accumulated between 00:00 and 24:00 UTC, are obtained from instantaneous flux estimated every 30-min from SEVIRI/MSG data. The values are estimated at the original pixel resolution of 3 km at the sub-satellite point (Geiger et al., 2008). For details see http://landsaf.meteo.pt/products/prods.jsp.

Air temperature at 2 m (T2m) is obtained from forecasts provided by the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) model. The initial 3-hourly T2m forecasts (steps between 12 h and 36 h) at a resolution of about 25 km, are linearly interpolated in time to hourly, and bilinearly interpolated in space to the SEVIRI/MSG resolution. The 2 m temperature values undergo a further adjustment to correct differences between ECMWF model surface orography and SEVIRI pixel altitude, using a constant lapse rate of 0.0067 °C m$^{-1}$.

5 Results

For the period 1 July–8 November 2008 we compared the daily LANDSAF incoming solar radiation and ECMWF air temperatures estimates with the corresponding values measured at Woreta. The results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It is seen that the the LANDSAF estimates of $R_s$ compare fairly well ($R = 0.91$, slope of linear regression 0.99), but the ECMWF air temperatures are systematically too low (about...
2 °C). As mentioned in the previous section, ECMWF temperature is adjusted by means of a constant lapse rate to take into account the elevation differences between ECMWF model (1956 m) and actual station height (1811 m), leading to a correction of +0.95 °C. In Fig. 5, daily ET0 evaluated with PMFAO-Rs for Woreta is compared with ET0 determined with MAK using MSG LANDSAF incoming solar radiation and ECMWF air temperature. Apparently, orographic adjustment was not enough to reduce the systematic underestimation of T (Fig. 4) which results in some underestimation of ET0. This is confirmed in Fig. 6, where measured T is used instead of the ECMWF T values.

In order to show the sensitivity of ET0 to solar radiation we plotted in Fig. 7 ET0 evaluated with PMFAO using the same locally measured input data, except solar radiation at Woreta and at Bahir Dar about 40 km apart. In Bahir Dar solar radiation is determined from the measured sunshine duration, whereas at Woreta $R_s$ is measured directly. Temesgen (2009) showed that the other input parameters for PMFAO of these two stations compared well. Apparently, $R_s$ from sunshine duration leads to systematic errors in ET0. It is also possible that this underestimation of ET0 is caused by an underestimation of solar radiation using data of sunshine duration.

In order to test our approach for a region with a significantly different elevation and thus a much higher air pressure, we compared solar radiation and air temperature for 2 sites in the Jordan valley (see Sect. 4). In Fig. 8 the daily measured solar radiation at Karameh is compared with the LANDSAF values for most of 2007. It is seen that the correlation is high, but when $R_s$ exceeds 200 W m$^{-2}$ the LANDSAF data are up to 50 W m$^{-2}$ greater than the measured values. We found similar results for Dair Allah (not shown). There are several reasons that can explain this conditional bias, such as insufficient atmospheric correction due to aerosol scattering.

Figure 9 shows a comparison between spatially interpolated and orographically corrected ECMWF 2 m temperatures with in situ observations. The correspondence is very good. The results for Dair Allah were again similar. It
6 Discussion and conclusions

In this case study it is shown that for the Fogera flood plain ET$_0$ determined from LANDSAF solar radiation data and using MAK compares well with the ground truth PMFAO-Rs when the locally measured air temperature is used. This is due to the fact that the MAK performs well in this region. It is noted that the elevation of the experimental site is about 1800, where the mean air pressure is about 82 kPa. This reflects in a relative low value for the psychrometric constant $\gamma$. In turn this tends to enhance ET$_0$.

In this remote region with high elevation the LANDSAF-ECMWF temperature differs from the observed value. The reason for this underestimate is not known yet. Recently Alemseged (2010) showed that the LANDSAF surface temperature product is useful to estimate temperatures of and near Lake Tana.

Considering the comparison between ET$_0$ determined with PMFAO for two adjacent stations in the region, where at one station $R_s$ is measured directly and at the other evaluated from sunshine duration, and the good quality of the LANDSAF incoming solar radiation product, we tend to conclude that the application of the latter for irrigation practice is advantageous over using locally measured sunshine duration, but we acknowledge that good estimates of solar radiation from sunshine duration are possible provided that they are calibrated locally.

The obvious advantage of the LANDSAF ET$_0$ product is that it can be made available almost real-time on MSG-pixel scale for entire Africa and the Middle East.

We recall that PMFAO-Rs is considered the best estimate of ET$_0$ for cases where the input parameters are measured correctly over non-stressed short grass. On purpose, the version of the PMFAO formula where net radiation is observed directly is not taken as a reference. Among others, the reason is that net radiation depends on the actual surface temperature, which plays a part in a complex feedback mechanism with wind speed (De Bruin et al., 2005). For surfaces with an albedo of about 23% the incoming solar radiation is independent of any surface property, which is an advantage here because ET$_0$ refers to a hypothetical reference surface. In practice, many (if not all) meteorological data are gathered over a (grass) surface suffering soil water stress. What are the consequences? Allen et al. (1998; Annex 6 therein)
mention the effect on air temperature and humidity, i.e. it is to be expected that in the dry season the air temperature is lower and the humidity above the non-stressed FAO surface higher than over the stressed fields (see also Allen, 1996; Allen et al., 1996). But there are also effects on net radiation. De Bruin (1987) found for a grass site in the Netherlands that the measured net radiation was not representative for non-stressed grass in the extreme dry summer of 1976. Recently, the first author of this paper found for a station in Burkina Faso that in the dry season the measured net radiation over bare soil is significantly smaller than \( R_n \) estimates with the empirical formula proposed by De Bruin (1987) for hypothetical non-stressed grass. These findings will be published in a separate paper.

An additional argument not to consider PMFAO with measured net radiation as input as the best approach is the fact that instrumentally net radiation sensors still are not perfect (see e.g. Kustas et al., 1998; Kohsiek et al., 2007).

Internationally, a radiation-temperature based formula for \( \text{ET}_0 \) by Priestley and Taylor (1972), here denoted by PT, is better known (see e.g. Van Kraalingen and Stol, 1997; Utset et al., 2004; Pereira, 2004; Suleiman and Hoogenboom, 2007, 2009):

\[
\text{PT} = \alpha \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} R_n
\]

in which \( \alpha \) is constant and \( R_n \) is the net radiation. The (rounded) value of Priestley-Taylor parameter \( \alpha \) is 1.3. For reason mentioned above we think that this approach should be used only above well-watered short grass.

For a grass site in East Netherlands De Bruin and Stricker (2000) confirmed that both PT and MAK give good results under non-stressed conditions. They found that PMFAO appears to be more sensitive to errors in the meteorological input data than MAK. Their explanation is that there is a feedback mechanism between the surface temperature and the wind speed that effectively reduces the influence of wind, at least when the surface is well-watered. This has been confirmed later by De Bruin et al. (2005) for an alfalfa site in Idaho under advective conditions. Because this feedback mechanism is not included in the PMFAO, by choosing a fixed wind-function, PM-FAO is more sensitive to errors in input data. Finally, it is noted that according to the general Penman-Monteith equation evapotranspiration of a surface close to the so-called equilibrium state, i.e. with \( \alpha \) close to 1, is independent of changes in \( r_n \) and thus in wind speed (Thom, 1975).

The above arguments justify the application of a formula such as MAK using solar radiation and temperature as input only. In turn, this consideration justifies the use of MAK with solar radiation and temperature provided by the LANDSAF facility. The latter is available for remote regions in Africa and the Middle East.

In conclusion, the LANDSAF-\( \text{ET}_0 \) approach presented in this research note is very promising, but more work has to be done to test the method under a wider range of environmental conditions. Considering the studies by e.g. Gavilán et al. (2008) and others it is most likely that for more arid and windy regions the Makkink formula tested here has to be adapted. We might come up with different radiation-temperature based LANDSAF-\( \text{ET}_0 \) estimates for various climatologic classes.

As noted above the authors are well aware of the fact that in arid conditions the MAK tends to underestimate \( \text{ET}_0 \) (Allen et al., 1998; Gavilán et al., 2008; Irmak et al., 2008). In those conditions horizontal advection of sensible heat supplied by the dry upwind terrain tends to enhance evapotranspiration (De Bruin et al., 2005; Berengena and Gavilán, 2005). The physics behind advection is still not solved entirely. Strictly speaking, \( \text{ET}_0 \) is defined for an extensive hypothetical non-stressed grass surface. The La Crau field experiment revealed that close to dry-to-wet step change evaporation decreases fast with height (De Bruin et al., 1991), whereas for very large irrigated alfalfa fields in Idaho the measured \( \text{ET} \) did not vary much in the first 10 m above the surface (De Bruin et al., 2004). This implies that under advective conditions the size of the experimental irrigated field plays an important role. Moreover, in highly advective conditions, the sensible heat flux is negative, by which the air is stably stratified. Then the aerodynamic resistance will be smaller than predicted by Eq. (2). Clearly, advection effects on the hypothetical quantity \( \text{ET}_0 \) requires further discussions in the irrigation community. Possibly, it is wise to introduce an advective-free crop reference \( \text{ET} \) as standard and to describe advection effects separately. In that case the Makkink formula might be an appropriate choice. This topic is outside the scope of this paper.

Another interesting feature of our study is the effect of mean air pressure on \( \text{ET}_0 \) through the psychrometric “constant” \( \gamma \), which appears in PMFAO, MAK and PT. At the Ethiopian site \( \gamma \) is about 0.055 kPa °C\(^{-1}\) and in the Jordan valley 0.066 kPa °C\(^{-1}\). This difference is not negligible. Elevation effects on \( \text{ET}_0 \) are reported by Vanderlinden et al. (2008). In this context, it is interesting to note that Delclaux et al. (2008) estimated actual evaporation of Lake Titicaca with an elevation of almost 4 km. They found that MAK was applicable for this lake, provided one accounts for heat storage in the water body. Air pressure effects are not accounted for in other radiation based \( \text{ET}_0 \) estimates such as that by Hargreaves (see Hargreaves and Allen, 2003) or that by Alexandris et al. (2006). We conclude that pressure effect on \( \text{ET}_0 \) needs further investigations.
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