The relationship between subsurface hydrology and dissolved carbon fluxes for a sub-arctic catchment
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Abstract. In recent years, there has been increased interest in carbon cycling in natural systems due to its role in a changing climate. Northern latitude systems are especially important as they may serve as a potentially large source or sink of terrestrial carbon. There are, however, a limited number of investigations reporting on actual flux rates of carbon moving from the subsurface landscape to surface water systems in northern latitudes. In this study, we determined dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) fluxes from the subsurface landscape for a sub-arctic catchment located in northern Sweden. These are based on observed annual flux-averaged concentrations of DOC and DIC for the 566 km² Abiskojokken catchment. We demonstrate the importance to correctly represent the spatial distribution of the advective solute travel times along the various flow and transport pathways. The fluxes of DOC and DIC from the subsurface landscape to the surface water system were comparable in magnitude. This balance could shift under future climatic changes that influence the hydrological and biogeochemical system.

1 Introduction

There may be numerous climate change effects on hydrology at high-northern latitudes. These include decreasing depth and duration of snowcover (Brown and Braaten, 1998; Curtis et al., 1998), permafrost warming and thawing (Stieglitz et al., 2003; Walvoord and Striegl, 2007; Osterkamp, 2007), increasing precipitation frequency and amount (Walsh, 2000; McCabe et al., 2001), increasing freshwater discharge (Peterson et al., 2002) and earlier spring flood peak discharges (Déry et al., 2005). The terrestrial freshwater cycle in the arctic and sub-arctic is often intimately connected with the presence of permafrost (White et al., 2007; Woo et al., 2008) and the depth to the permafrost largely determines the pathways of water flow through the landscape (Kane et al., 1981). In addition to influencing the hydrological response of the landscape, the location and distribution of these pathways influence the carbon and other biogeochemical cycling in northern latitude catchments (e.g., MacLean et al., 1999; McNamara et al., 2008).

Increasing precipitation and surface temperature may lead to more subsurface water flowing through the highly organic superficial soils of arctic and sub-arctic systems, promoting the transport of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) from the subsurface landscape to the surface water system (Dutta et al., 2006); in addition, permafrost degradation may result in DIC release (Frey and McClelland, 2009). Permafrost thawing could also allow for deeper flow pathways and, as such, lead to a general reduction in terrestrial DOC export by promoting flow through deeper mineral soils (Striegl et al., 2007). Deeper groundwater flow depths would also likely increase dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) formation due to weathering. Such shifts in DOC and DIC transport and/or production would have consequences for the global C balance. Increased DOC concentrations could enhance respiration rates in the surface water system (e.g., Sobek et al., 2003), which would impose a positive feedback on atmospheric CO₂. Increases in silicate weathering and DIC production, however, consumes CO₂ and would constitute a negative feedback on atmospheric CO₂ (Smedberg et al., 2006).
The net effect of these opposing feedback mechanisms depends on the rates of respiration and weathering relative to the DOC and DIC mass transport rates along the different pathways of subsurface water flow. In order to predict the fates of solutes, the transport time of water and solutes along the diverse flow pathways through a catchment must be quantified (Destouni and Graham, 1995; Simic and Destouni, 1999; Kirchner et al., 2001; Malmström et al., 2004; Darracq et al., 2009; Persson and Destouni, 2009). Field-based identification of flow pathways and quantification of the travel time of water along such flow pathways, however, is difficult both in terms of collection of appropriate data and in terms of interpretation. As hydrological mass transport remains poorly investigated at northern latitudes (Hannerz and Destouni, 2006; Bring and Destouni, 2009), an empirical identification of the main solute sources and transport pathways is difficult (Destouni et al., 2008a, b). One option is to estimate the distributions of solute travel times along different flow and transport pathways through catchments using physically-based modeling approaches (e.g., Darracq et al., 2009).

In this study, we simulated solute travel times based on long-term data from the sub-arctic Swedish Abiskojokken catchment. Our objective was to determine water flow and mass transport effects on the present-day release rates of dissolved carbon from the subsurface landscape to the surface water system.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Site description

We conducted our study in the sub-arctic 566 km² Abiskojokken catchment (Fig. 1) in northern Sweden (68° 21′ 36″ N, 18° 46′ 48″ E) which ranges in elevation from about 350 m to 1600 m above sea level. Precipitation observed in the region ranges from higher values around ~900 mm/yr near the Norwegain border to lower values around ~300 mm/yr near the outlet of the Abiskojokken catchment (Åkerman and Johansson, 2008). The mean annual air temperature for 1913–2006 at Abisko (located a few kilometers east of the catchment outlet) was −0.6 °C (Abisko Scientific Research Station). The catchment contains both alpine and subalpine vegetation zones. The alpine region is dominated by heath vegetation mainly as dwarf shrubs and the subalpine zone by birch forest with patches of dwarf shrubs. Wetlands and marshes can also be found in the subalpine zone and at lower altitudes in the alpine zone. The Abiskojokken catchment is in an area of discontinuous permafrost (Johansson et al., 2006) with a patchy distribution of permafrost.

Soils at higher altitudes in the alpine zone are shallow with common occurrences of exposed bedrock while soils in the low- and mid-alpine zone are generally deeper. The average soil depth in the adjacent headwaters of the river Kalixälven with a similar elevation range has been estimated to be about 1.7 to 5.3 m (Smedberg et al., 2006). Regionally, Smedberg et al. (2009) report median soil depth values ranging from around 9 m for mixed forest land cover to around 7 m for herbaceous land cover. While a formal soil classification is not available, the till soils typical of this region are sandy (e.g., Johansson et al., 2005) and exhibit effective porosity and hydraulic conductivity values of 0.05 cm³/cm³ and 1.5 × 10⁻⁵ m/s, respectively, for the quaternary deposits/bedrock interface. The tills in the lower elevations and valleys of Abiskojokken catchment and nearby regions consist largely of finer material, fluvial gravels, sand and in some cases silty/clayey material (Åkerman and Malmström, 1986).

2.2 Long-term observations and stream water sampling

Stream water alkalinity at the outlet of Abiskojokken was determined monthly from 1978 through 2008. These (and other chemical measures) are available through the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU) Department of Environmental Assessment monitoring program. The annual water flow volume averaged 4.5 × 10⁸ m³ (1918–2007; Lyon et al., 2009). Daily stream flows are available through the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) (Gage ID 957). During mid-April to mid-July and September to October 2008, stream samples were manually collected each week at the outlet of the Abiskojokken catchment. DOC concentrations were analyzed for the first sampling campaign (n = 22). DOC concentration was analyzed by means of catalytic carbon combustion (Shimadzu TOC-C PH; Kyoto, Japan). DIC concentrations were calculated for both sampling campaigns (n = 30). DIC was calculated from measured alkalinity and pH using PHREEQCI (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999). PHREEQCI is a graphical user interface version of the PHREEQC computer program used for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations.

Fig. 1. Site map showing the Abiskojokken catchment in northern Sweden with the outlet (indicated by a star) at 68° 21′ 36″ N, 18° 46′ 48″ E.
2.3 Shallow and deeper flow domain partitioning

A first step to determining a present-day advective transport travel time distribution for the Abiskojokken catchment is to determine the portioning of flow through shallow and deeper flow domains. To do this, we develop a simple two-component hydrograph separation (Sklash and Farvolden, 1979; Buttle and McDonnell, 2004) using long-term monthly samplings of stream water alkalinity. As alkalinity is added to surface water via the deeper pathways in this system (Humborg et al., 2004), we can use the monthly average alkalinity values to separate the observed total streamflow into water coming from the deeper versus shallow flow domain. This assumes that stream flow is primarily derived from the deeper flow domain during winter since the shallow flow domain freezes, and that alkalinity levels thus provide a tracer of water from the deep flow domain. This hydrograph separation, thus, uses the total average annual volumetric stream flow \( Q \) [L\(^3\)T\(^{-1}\)] to define the average annual flow volume coming from the shallow flow domain \( Q_{sh} \) [L\(^3\)T\(^{-1}\)] and the deeper flow domain \( Q_d \) [L\(^3\)T\(^{-1}\)].

In addition, we can use this hydrograph separation to check the validity of the hydraulic properties for the shallow and deeper flow domains. This is done by estimating the relative average aquifer thicknesses for both the shallow \( Z_{sh} \) [L] and the deeper \( Z_d \) [L] flow domains as:

\[
Z_{sh} = \frac{Q_{sh}}{Q_{sh} L_s} \quad (1)
\]

\[
Z_d = \frac{Q_d}{Q_d L_s} \quad (2)
\]

where \( L_s \) [L] is the stream length draining the catchment (approximately 520 km for the Abiskojokken catchment based on map analysis), and \( Q_{sh} \) [L\(^3\)T\(^{-1}\)] and \( Q_d \) [L\(^3\)T\(^{-1}\)] are the average specific discharges from the shallow and deeper flow domains, respectively. By modeling the present-day advective solute transport travel time distribution (see following section), it is possible to estimate \( Q_{sh} \) and \( Q_d \) values that are dependent on the assumed hydraulic properties of the shallow and deeper flow domains. Substituting into Eqs. (1) and (2), it is possible to calculate the average aquifer thicknesses \( (Z_{sh} \) and \( Z_d \), respectively) for the Abiskojokken catchment. These values can be compared to aquifer thickness observations as a check on the validity of the adopted hydraulic properties used to estimate the advective solute travel times.

2.4 Advective solute travel times

The advective travel times of dissolved carbon along subsurface transport pathways to the stream network reflect the purely physical rates of advection by the variable mean pore water velocity along and among these pathways (see the travel time-based modeling approaches of both conservative and reactive solute transport in Destouni and Graham, 1995; Eriksson and Destouni, 1997; Simic and Destouni, 1999; Malmström et al., 2004; Lindgren and Destouni, 2004; Lindgren et al., 2004; Darraaq et al., 2009; and Persson and Destouni, 2009).

We conceptualize the whole subsurface flow domain of active carbon release as a shallow and deeper flow domain. The physical fractionation of water between these two domains is quantified using the above mentioned hydrograph separation. It is possible to define a fraction of streamlines \( (\alpha_{sh} \) [-]) through the shallow flow domain with advective solute travel times \( \tau_{sh} \) [T]. Complimentary to this, there is a fraction of streamlines \( (1 - \alpha_{sh}) \) through the deeper flow domain with advective travel times \( \tau_d \) [T]. Combining these, a flow-weighted mean advective travel time \( \tau \) [T] from each point at the surface of the catchment through the whole subsurface flow domain can then be estimated as:

\[
\tau = \alpha_{sh} \tau_{sh} + (1 - \alpha_{sh}) \tau_d \quad (3)
\]

We evaluate these advective solute travel times by a simple Darcy-flow quantification. For the shallow flow domain this is (see e.g. also Darraaq et al., 2009):

\[
\tau_{sh} = \int_{x_{cp}}^{x_{dp}} \frac{dX_{sh}}{v(X_{sh})} \approx \int_{x_{cp}}^{x_{dp}} \frac{dX_{sh}}{\left(\frac{k_{sh} dh}{\alpha_{sh} \rho_{sh} \beta_{sh}}\right) X_{sh}} \quad (4)
\]

where \( x \) defines direction in a moving coordinate system that follows the mean water flow direction. \( X_{sh}(t) \) gives the position along \( x \) at time \( t \) of a water and solute parcel (e.g., dissolved carbon) traveling from an input location \( x_{cp} \) (vector notation) to an output control plane at \( x_{cp} \). At each position \( X_{sh} \), the local average pore water velocity \( v(X_{sh}) \) [L T\(^{-1}\)] advects the water and solute parcel forward in the x-direction. This local average pore water velocity can be defined in a Darcy sense using the local effective water content/porosity \( \rho_{sh} \) [-], the saturated hydraulic conductivity \( k_{sh} \) [L T\(^{-1}\)] and the hydraulic gradient \( dh/dl \) [-] in the direction x. The advective travel time is the total time period it takes for the watersolute parcel to be advected from input \( x_{cp} \) to output \( x_{cp} \) which here represent the catchment surface and the interface between the subsurface system and the nearest stream or other surface water, respectively. The advective travel time through the deeper flow domain can be calculated in a similar manner (i.e., swapping the subscript “sh” for d in Eq. 4).

Similar to Jarsjö et al. (2007), we use here a geographical information system (GIS) to estimate the flow pathway lengths and hydraulic gradients directly from the available digital elevation model (DEM), which has a resolution of 50\( \times \)50 m for the entire catchment. We used the D8 flow routing algorithm as implemented in Tarboton (1997) to define flow pathways and lengths for each non-stream network raster cell in the catchment to the stream network. At each 50\( \times \)50 m grid cell along each flow pathway, the upslope contributing area was calculated and used to create a map showing the accumulated area draining to each point in the catchment. On such a map, the highest values (i.e., the positions in
the landscape with the most accumulated area) typically correspond to stream network and can, thus, be used to define the extent of the stream network. This is done by thresholding the map of accumulated area at a critical value such that positions with higher accumulated areas than that critical value are considered part of the stream network. The stream network was defined using a critical accumulated area threshold set at 5 km². As the groundwater level and slope may be relatively unaffected by small-scale variations in surface elevation (Darraq et al., 2009), the hydraulic gradient was assumed to be equivalent to a hillslope average gradient derived from the DEM. Hillslopes were delineated using the stream network using the methodology described in Bogaart and Troch (2006).

To characterize the hydraulic parameters associated with the shallow flow domain, we adopt reported effective porosity and hydraulic conductivity values for the surface till soils in this catchment. For the deeper flow domain, we assume (as a first-order approximation) hydraulic properties are similar to those for the shallow flow domain. We can check the validity of these assumed hydraulic properties independently (and if found necessary adjust) by estimating the depth of the shallow and deeper flow domains from Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively.

2.5 Carbon flux estimates

The release of dissolved carbon into the subsurface water flow pathways can be estimated using the aforementioned advective solute travel times. For example, the DOC release from the subsurface occurs at a rate \( r_{\text{DOC}} \) (mass per bulk soil volume [ML⁻³]) over the whole subsurface flow domain, i.e., over the shallow and the deep flow domains. Following a single flow and transport pathway (stream tube) with mean specific discharge \( q \) [LT⁻¹] and porosity \( n \) [–] along its whole length \( L \) it is possible to estimate the local mass flux of DOC, \( s_{\text{DOC}} \) [ML⁻²T⁻¹], into the nearest surface water as:

\[
s_{\text{DOC}} = r_{\text{DOC}} L = r_{\text{DOC}} q \tau / n = r_{\text{DOC}} u \tau,
\]

where \( u = q / n \) is the mean pore water velocity [LT⁻¹] along the whole stream tube with mean travel time \( \tau \) [T]. Extending this to the whole \( \tau \) population of stream tubes that receive DOC and transport it to the receiving surface water, the average mass flux \( \bar{s}_{\text{DOC}} \) [ML⁻²T⁻¹] into the stream network can be expressed as:

\[
\bar{s}_{\text{DOC}} = \int_0^\infty r_{\text{DOC}} u(\tau) f(\tau) d\tau
\]

where \( f \) is the probability density function describing the spatial distribution of solute travel times \( \tau \) through the whole subsurface flow domain. This average mass flux can further be related to the flux-averaged concentration of DOC \( C_{\text{DOC–flux}} \) [ML⁻³] in the total volumetric stream flow \( Q \) [L³T⁻¹] through the stream cross-sectional area \( A_s \) [L²] at its outlet as:

\[
C_{\text{DOC–flux}} = \bar{s}_{\text{DOC}} / (Q / A_s)
\]

Similarly to the above, the release of DIC into the subsurface water occurs at the rate \( r_{\text{DIC}} \) (mass per bulk soil volume). With analogous equations to the result above, we can express the local mass flux \( \bar{s}_{\text{DIC}} \), the average mass flux \( \bar{s}_{\text{DIC}} \), and the flux-averaged concentration \( C_{\text{DIC–flux}} \) of DIC.

Using the above expressions, it is possible to link the observed flux-averaged concentrations of DOC and DIC to their associated average mass flux from the subsurface system and the corresponding release rates using spatial distributions of advective solute travel times. To demonstrate the effect of the spatial variability in the advective solute travel time distribution, we use a catchment-average travel times \( \bar{\tau} \) to estimate homogeneous release rates \( r_{\text{DOC}}(\bar{\tau}) \) and \( r_{\text{DIC}}(\bar{\tau}) \). Additionally, considering the catchment-average flow velocity we can estimate the homogeneous mass fluxes \( \bar{s}_{\text{DOC}}(\bar{\tau}) \) and \( \bar{s}_{\text{DIC}}(\bar{\tau}) \).

3 Results

3.1 Hydrograph separation

Based on the separation (Fig. 2), 52%±4% (based on one standard deviation in monthly alkalinity samples) of the
average annual flow from the Abiskojokken catchment originates from the deeper flow domain. It follows that the remaining $48\%\pm 4\%$ is derived from the shallow flow domain. This gives a long-term estimate of $\alpha_{sh} \approx 0.48$ for the Abiskojokken catchment. Based on the total long-term average annual flow for Abiskojokken, this yields $Q_{sh} \approx 2.16 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per year}$ and $Q_d \approx 2.34 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per year}$ as the flow contribution from the shallow and the deeper flow domain to the stream network, respectively.

3.2 Advective solute travel times

The estimation of $\tau$ (Fig. 3) is sensitive to the $\alpha_{sh}$ value, as this parameter controls the fractionation of flow pathways between the shallow and the deeper flow domains and to the hydraulic properties adopted to represent each of the zones. Substituting into Eqs. (1) and (2), we estimate an aquifer thickness of about 4 m for the deeper flow domain and 4 m for the shallow flow domain, yielding depth for the whole subsurface flow domain of 8 m.

Characteristic distributions show that the present-day travel time distribution is highly skewed towards smaller travel times and exhibits a long tail of large travel times (Fig. 4). The mean advective travel time from the statistical distribution is then 0.9 years, the median travel time is 0.6 years, and the standard deviation is 0.8 years.

3.3 DOC and DIC observations and flux estimates

The time series of DOC and DIC concentrations show seasonal trends (Fig. 5). Typically, the observed DIC concentrations are larger than the observed DOC concentrations. DOC concentrations are small prior to spring thaw, show a peak at 3.9 mg/L immediately following spring thaw and decline towards late summer. DIC concentrations drop markedly during the spring thaw and reach a minimum at 1.8 mg/L during the summer. This DIC concentration pattern in 2008 corresponds to the long-term average pattern seen for alkalinity (Fig. 2a). Based on this sampling for 2008, the annual average DOC concentration is 1.9 mg/L with a standard deviation of 1.0 mg/L and the annual average DIC concentration is 3.0 mg/L with a standard deviation of 1.2 mg/L. The median DOC concentration is 1.7 mg/L and the median DIC concentration is 2.8 mg/L. Using the observed stream flow for 2008 (Fig. 5), the flow-weighted DOC concentration is 2.0 mg/L with a standard deviation of 0.2 mg/L and the flow weighted DIC concentration is 2.8 mg/L with a standard deviation of 0.3 mg/L. The median flow-weighted DOC concentration is 1.6 mg/L and the median flow-weighted DIC concentration is 2.4 mg/L. The estimated carbon fluxes and release rates

Fig. 3. Present day spatially distributed travel times for each point in the Abiskojokken catchment through the subsurface landscape to the stream network. Note that the color bar has a log scale to show the variability in the spatial distribution. The axes for the map of spatially distributed travel times are labeled in kilometers of linear distance.

Fig. 4. Characteristic distributions summarizing (a) probability density function (PDF) and (b) the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the spatially distributed travel times given in Fig. 3.
for the Abiskojokken catchment vary depending on assuming a spatially-distributed or catchment-averaged travel time (Table 1).

4 Discussion

4.1 Estimation and validation of advective travel times

This study uses a practical and easily applicable framework analogous to the flow modeling methodology outlined in Jarsjö et al. (2007) and the travel time-based mass transport methodology outlined in Darracq et al. (2009) for determining the spatial distribution of advective travel times. This approach is advantageous particularly in ungauged or data limited environments. Often, only topographic and minimal soil data are available at the catchment-scale. This makes the modeling of solute transport and reactive mass transfer/transformation difficult, not least with respect to creating a meaningfully representation of how solutes are physically transported through the catchment. By adopting a physical (advective) travel time-based methodology, we can clearly represent the interaction between physical and biogeochemical processes that affect solutes in their transport through the landscape in process-based transport models. This is compared to the greater travel time variability when moving along hillslopes, from flow divides to the stream channel. Shorter travel times result nearer to the stream network due to the decreased flow pathway length. Moreover, owing to limited data availability and resolution, we have assumed that hydraulic parameters are spatially homogeneous over the entire extent of the Abiskojokken catchment. This, however, is not a necessary condition. If detailed mapping of for instance hydraulic conductivity and its variability were available across the catchment, this type of information could and should be used in the estimation of the advective travel time distribution. In addition to hydraulic properties, the value adopted for $\alpha_{sh}$ is a key parameter for the estimation of the spatially distributed travel times. As such, its value needs to be constrained based on independent hydrologic measurements and theory. Here, it was possible to constrain this $\alpha_{sh}$ value based on independent hydrologic measurements and theory using alkalinity as a long-term tracer of water originating from the deep reservoir (Fig. 2). Other methods may be available to estimate this weighting parameter for water flow fractionation between shallow and deep aquifers (e.g., Eriksson and Destouni, 1997).

Confidence is given to the modeled distribution by independent corroboration with field-based aquifer thickness observations and by comparison with previous empirical estimates of catchment-scale travel time made in this region. In the current study, the estimated aquifer thickness of 8 m for the whole subsurface flow domain, which is based entirely on observed and modeled hydrology, agrees well with observed aquifer depths in quaternary deposits ranging from 1.7 m to 5.3 m in a nearby (<100 km) headwaters of river Kalixälven (Smedberg et al., 2006) and regionally-based median values for herbaceous and mixed forest land covers of 7 m and 9 m, respectively (Smedberg et al., 2009). As such, we can accept the hydrological characterizations assumed for both the shallow and deeper flow domains in generating the
advective solute travel time distribution (Fig. 3). Further, the mean advective travel time modeled for the Abiskojokken catchment (0.9 years) is in general agreement with the 1.1 years estimated using 10 years of $^{18}$O isotope signatures for the nearby 6000 km$^2$ Torne älv catchment (Burgman et al., 1987). The slightly larger Torne älv catchment is subjected to a similar climate and has a similar geological setting as the Abiskojokken catchment.

### 4.2 Carbon flux in relation to flow pathways

For the Abiskojokken catchment, the fluxes of DOC and DIC from the subsurface landscape to the surface water system were comparable in magnitude (Table 1). DIC constitutes about 58% and DOC about 42% of the total flux of dissolved carbon through the landscape and into the stream network. This differs from the larger flux of DOC observed in many other northern landscapes. Looking over the whole of Sweden, Humborg et al. (2010) estimated that organic carbon comprised the majority of the carbon flux from the terrestrial environment to the aquatic system. For example, boreal landscapes tend to have a direct connection with wetlands rich in organic matter and large amounts of DOC in stream systems (Kortelainen, 1993; Laudon et al., 2004). For example, in the well studied Krycklan catchments (located about 600 km southeast of the Abiskojokken catchment), DOC comprises about 81% of the total dissolved carbon export while DIC makes up 19% (Wallin et al., 2010). However, in contrast to the Swedish rivers where DOC concentrations are higher than DIC concentrations, most large Siberian rivers are richer in DIC than DOC. On average, DIC comprises 56% of the total dissolved carbon export (Gordeev et al., 1996), which is very similar to the Abiskojokken watershed. These various estimates, along with the present results, demonstrate the fundamental differences that arise due to variation in both ecosystem processes and subsurface materials with respect to the carbon transport through and from the subsurface landscape into the surface water system. As such, there is a need to represent an appropriate level of interaction between the terrestrial environment and hydrology in models to capture the real nature of carbon transport along and among the aquatic conduits (Cole et al., 2007).

In the current study, this can be seen by the comparisons of release rates based on spatially-distributed travel times with those estimated from a single, lumped travel time. Lumping to create a mean travel time value led to underestimation of the release rates of both DIC and DOC (Table 1). For the present-day Abiskojokken catchment, the local DIC release rate was underestimated by 22% and the local DOC release rate by 19%. The differences found here between DIC and DOC release rates (and mass fluxes) when considering spatially distributed travel times over a single lumped travel time are similar to previous findings considering other types of, or more general, solute transport (e.g., Eriksson and Destouni, 1997; Malmström et al., 2000; Lindgren and Destouni, 2004). Such differences in how we represent the movement of water through the catchment subsurface will greatly affect interpretations and predictions of the CO$_2$ sink or source functioning of the landscape within hydrological catchments. The first approximation given in this study elucidates the role of hydrological flow and transport and the

---

**Table 1.** Observed concentrations (in italics) and estimated carbon fluxes and release rates for the Abiskojokken catchment. In parenthesis, are standard deviations for observed concentrations and corresponding standard errors (based on one standard deviation) for estimated values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flux-averaged DOC concentration in the stream</strong></td>
<td>C$_{\text{DOC-flux}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2000 (200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average DOC mass flux into the stream</td>
<td>$\overline{\text{DOC}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>571 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous DOC mass flux, based on the above release rate with a lumped, single travel time and flow velocity</td>
<td>$\overline{\text{DOC}(\tau)}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>726 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC release rate based on spatially-distributed travel time</td>
<td>$r_{\text{DOC}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.36 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC release rate calculated from observed average mass flux with a lumped, single travel time</td>
<td>$r_{\text{DOC}(\tau)}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flux-averaged DIC concentration in the stream</strong></td>
<td>C$_{\text{DIC-flux}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2800 (300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average DIC mass flux into the stream</td>
<td>$\overline{\text{DIC}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>799 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous DIC mass flux, based on the above release rate with a lumped, single travel time and flow velocity</td>
<td>$\overline{\text{DIC}(\tau)}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1016 (109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC release rate based on spatially-distributed travel time</td>
<td>$r_{\text{DIC}}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.51 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC release rate calculated from observed average mass flux with a lumped, single travel time</td>
<td>$r_{\text{DIC}(\tau)}$</td>
<td>mg C m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.40 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
need for more process-based studies that consider this role and its possible climate feedbacks. This role may be even more important under future climate conditions, when the balance between DOC and DIC in taiga and tundra catchments (such as Abiskojokken) may become even more sensitive to the hydrologic regimes.

4.3 On the potential effects of changes to flow pathways in the sub-arctic

Localized (Åkerman and Johansson, 2008) and catchment-scale (Lyon et al., 2009) permafrost thawing has been documented in Abiskojokken. This thawing has already led to changes in the storage-discharge dynamics of the catchment (Lyon et al., 2009) and could also influence future shifts in the hydrologic flow pathways at the catchment scale. The flow in deeper pathways, for example, has the potential to be slower as hydraulic conductivity in Swedish soil-rock systems typically decreases with depth into the subsurface profile. This will likely change the advective travel times through the catchment. Such changes could be represented in the current modeling conceptualization by allowing deeper subsurface flow pathways to shift the advective travel time distribution from the present-day distribution towards a distribution that includes the longer travel times associated with the deeper and slower flow. In addition, physically-based models (e.g., Grimm and Painter, 2009) could allow for more explicit accounting of changes in flow pathways and associated advective travel times due to permafrost thawing.

Fluvial and ground water exports of dissolved carbon may be affected by such climate change-induced (or land-use-induced) changes in hydrology (Cole et al., 2007). Evidence for this has been found for the Yukon River Basin where decreasing DOC concentrations during summer has been explained by increased flow path and travel times in combination with increased microbial mineralization of DOC in the soil active layer and groundwater (Striegl et al., 2007). A general increasing trend in the groundwater contribution has also been observed across the Yukon River Basin as a result of changed hydrological flow paths due to permafrost thawing and this alteration of flow paths is believed to further decrease DOC exports and increase DIC exports (Walvoord and Striegl, 2007; Lyon and Destouni, 2010). Accordingly, Hinzman et al. (2005) observed increasing DIC concentrations (together with Mg, Ca and K) in Toolik Lake, Alaska, suggesting deeper infiltration of runoff water and increased weathering. For systems where the exports of DOC and DIC are currently in a relative balance (such as the Abiskojokken catchment), such large-scale changes in flow pathways could ultimately shift this balance.
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