



Use of laser-scan technology to analyse topography and flow in a weir pool

P. E. Dresel¹, P. Hekmeijer¹, J. F. Dean², W. Harvey¹, J. A. Webb², and P. Cook¹

¹Department of Primary Industries, Bendigo, Victoria, Australia

²La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Correspondence to: P. E. Dresel (evan.dresel@dpi.vic.gov.au)

Received: 10 January 2012 – Published in Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.: 20 March 2012

Revised: 25 June 2012 – Accepted: 10 July 2012 – Published: 13 August 2012

Abstract. The development of laser-scan techniques provides opportunity for detailed terrain analysis in hydrologic studies. Ground based scans were used to model the ground surface elevation in the area of a stream gauge weir over an area of 240 m² at a resolution of 0.05 m. The terrain model was used to assess the possibility of flow bypassing the weir and to calculate stream flow during filling of the weir pool, prior to flow through the weir notch. The mapped surface shows a subtle low-lying area at the south end of the structure where flow could bypass the weir. The flow calculations quantify low-flows that do not reach the weir notch during small rain events and flow at the beginning of larger events in the ephemeral stream.

1 Introduction

Low-flow hydrology is a research area concerned with flow periods of seasonally-low perennial flow, ongoing flow during drought periods, and intermittent to ephemeral flow periods not directly supported by precipitation. Smakhtin (2001) reviewed low-flow hydrology with a focus on perennial flow systems. Low-flow periods are important in water resource allocation (Gustard and Demuth, 2008) and the duration of low flow periods has ecological consequences for aquatic biota and riparian vegetation (Stubbington et al., 2009; Katz et al., 2012). Water quality may be affected during times of low flow, including impacts on water temperature, oxygen levels, salinity, and development of inland acid sulfate soils (Fitzpatrick et al., 2008; Hladyz et al., 2011; Devito and Hill, 1997; Hart et al., 2008; Austin et al., 2010). Wooldridge et al. (2003) discuss the difficulties in hydrologic model

parameter estimation for low-yielding ephemeral catchments due to the limited information content of the low flow periods. In this study we present a terrestrial laser scan method to extend the low-flow measurement range of a V-notch knife edge weir gauge, which can provide additional information on the flow regime during weather cycles.

Light detection and ranging (lidar) technology or laser scan technology, provides a powerful method for terrain and vegetation analysis that is beginning to have widespread application in hydrology. Wehr and Lohr (1999) reviewed the design and use of airborne systems. Lidar and terrestrial laser scan methods provide extremely detailed information on ground surface elevation that has been applied to improving inundation and flood assessment (Schumann et al., 2008; Cobby et al., 2001; Frazier et al., 2012) and assessed for reservoir gauging (Tamari et al., 2011). A distinction can be made between airborne lidar deployment and terrestrial laser scanning with airborne systems more suited to wide area measurements approximately normal to the land surface and terrestrial systems generally suited to detailed studies and to studies where the vertical plane is imaged. Quite complete 3-D images can be developed by combining multiple terrestrial scans although dense vegetation can obscure the actual ground surface (Coveney and Stewart Fotheringham, 2011).

2 Study location

This paper addresses an aspect of a greater study to investigate land use impacts on the catchment scale hydrology in southeastern Australia. Southeastern Australia, has a Mediterranean climate with typically cool wet winters and hot dry summers, leading to high variability in stream




Fig. 1. Weir site location.

flow and a preponderance of intermittent streams. In recent years, southwestern Victoria has seen an increase in plantation forestry, increase in cropping, and a shift from annual to perennial pasture (Department of Sustainability and Environment, 2011; Benyon et al., 2009). Increased annual ET from afforestation of grasslands or cropping areas is generally observed (e.g. Zhang et al., 2001; Benyon, 2002; Benyon et al., 2006), resulting in decreased stream flow (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Bubb and Croton, 2002; Best et al., 2003). As pointed out by Lane et al. (2005), the impacts of changing land use on the total flow regime and flow duration curves is less well understood with some of their studied catchments showing a greater increase in zero-flow days after afforestation, while another group shows a more uniform reduction in flows across all flow duration percentiles. A review of paired catchment studies by Best et al. (2003) indicates vegetation changes have a greater effect on low flows than high flows in Australian studies.

The study area is located near Mirranatwa, 230 km west of Melbourne, in Victoria Australia (Fig. 1). The area is surrounded on three sides by sandstone ridges of the Grampians Range. Bedrock consists of weathered to consolidated granitic rock. Surface colluvium is found in the lower part of the catchment, but granitic outcrop is seen even at the weir location. By the Australian Soil Classification (Isbell, 2002) soils are bleached-mottled, eutrophic, brown chromosols.

The catchment monitoring consists of a stream gauge at the bottom of the catchment, stream salinity monitoring, full weather stations (rainfall, humidity, solar radiation, wind speed and direction, evaporation pans, and groundwater monitoring at the weir location and through the catchments).

Sapflow and soil moisture are also being monitored. The weir area studied is in a plantation catchment where the blue gum (*Eucalyptus globulus*) trees were planted in 2008. The catchment area for the weir is 2265 ha and the elevation of the catchment divide is approximately 50 m above the weir elevation. Stream flow is intermittent.

The initiation of this project corresponded to the beginning of a year of higher than average rainfall (Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology, 2011). This produced a number of challenges to the stream flow monitoring system in the plantation catchment, especially since a reliable baseline had not yet been established. In particular, at this study site (Fig. 1) under wet conditions, surface water leakage was observed at the southern wing of the weir and downstream of the structure. A sheet-pile extension was installed to extend the eastern wing but the continued presence of surface water suggested that at least some of the flow resulted from lateral inputs from interflow or groundwater discharge.

A terrestrial laser scan survey was performed over the weir area as part of the assessment of the issues described above. This survey provided detailed elevation data to (1) assess the potential for flow around the weir at high pool levels and evaluate the sufficiency of the sheet pile extension, and (2) analyse pool volume vs. water level to calculate stream flow prior to flow through the weir. The survey took approximately half a day and proved to be an efficient method to map the topographic detail and to measure, with some caveats, episodic low-flow events and the initial flow of higher flow events. For this study, fine detail is of most importance to accurately determine the role land use change is having on the hydrology of the system. This method can potentially provide this, and may be extended to other areas of ephemeral

streamflow where minor flow events may be important under drier conditions, e.g. for maintaining ecologic refuges in downstream remnant pools.

3 Method

A V-notch knife edge weir was installed at the study catchment. Weir stage is measured with a stilling well-float system and logged with stream electrical conductivity and rainfall. Water levels in the weir pool are logged at 30 min intervals. Rainfall is logged at 10 min intervals during precipitation events. The weir rating curve was provided by the installation contractor. The minimum water level measured is approximately -0.2 m in local coordinates, relative to the stick gauge in the pool. The minimum level for flow through the weir is 0.1 m; the top of the knife edge V is at 0.3 m.

The weir area was imaged in non-georeferenced local coordinates using a LeicaTM ScanStation C10 laser scanner in units of meters. The instrument has a rotating scan head that covers a 360° field of view in the horizontal plane by 270° in the vertical plane. The data are corrected with an internal dual-axis compensator. Scans were collected from 4 stations and included 4 reflective backsight targets for registration of the scans into a single point cloud. Instrument height above ground was measured with the GHM008 instrument height meter in conjunction with the GHT196 distance holder, included with the scanner. At each station the scanner was set up using the “resection” option for setup at an unknown location by scanning the fixed target locations. Scan resolution was set at a predefined “medium” setting that provides a point spacing of 10×10 cm at a distance of 100 m. Actual point spacing is lower because the distance across the area is less than 100 m and the points from all 4 stations were combined.

Scans were processed using Leica Cyclone software. The resulting point cloud combines the individual scans and defines “XYZ” and intensity values for each reflection in the local coordinates. The point clouds were not georeferenced but the y-axis was defined to correspond roughly to N–S for convenience. The instrument’s levelling process ensures that the z-direction is “up” – normal to a horizontal surface.

The scan of the weir area was clipped to 240 m^2 for visualisation so that the data points stand out against the empty background (e.g. Fig. 2) and for subsequent processing. No further editing of the point features was performed so the cloud includes vegetation and man-made structures such as the housing for sensors and the stilling well/data logger, within the pool area. Local coordinate elevations of the bottom of the V-notch and top of the stick gauge in the pool area were recorded from points at those locations.

The point cloud was exported as a text file for transfer to ESRI ArcMapTM for analysis. The ArcMap analysis followed a work flow similar to one used for analysis of airborne lidar data: the points were imported in ArcMap “multipoint”




Fig. 2. Point-cloud image of weir area showing V-notch, stick gauge, and stilling well/logger housing. The bright blue point is a reference reflector used for combining scans from different locations and the green angled tube is the housing for a conductivity sensor.

format designed for efficient storage of large point data sets. The point cloud contained 11 648 961 points with an average spacing of 0.005 m.

The point data were used to produce a 0.05 m resolution raster representing the topography, using the minimum point elevations within each cell. The minimum height value was used to reduce the effect of vegetation. This method is similar to the “grid based elevation filter” of Coveney and Stewart Fotheringham (2011). The pool volume and area of inundation at different water levels were calculated by defining polygons covering the pool area, set at different elevations, then performing volume calculations of the difference between those and the raster surface.

4 Results

The gridded weir topography (digital terrain model) is shown in Fig. 3. Areas of no data, where the surface was hidden, are shown in white and mainly occur outside the pool area. Although it is possible to fill in estimated surface elevations, the missing data were not considered significant for the calculations.

Figure 4 shows the calculated area of inundation for the pool at the height of the bottom of the weir V-notch (Fig. 4a) and the top of the V (Fig. 4b). The images show some low areas along the southern concrete structure where only the sheet piling prevents flow around the weir. The detailed topography determined from the laser scan would have improved the weir design, had it been available prior to installation. Wet areas at the surface observed after installation of the sheet pile most likely represent lateral flow from the sides of the valley and thus flow from outside the measured catchment.

The pool volume vs. elevation from the scanner data were transformed into the coordinates measured by the weir logger where the bottom of the notch is defined as 0.1 m by using a scanner height of -0.168 m for the bottom of the V seen in




Fig. 3. Digital terrain model of the weir area. Elevations are in meters above an arbitrary “scanner datum”.

the point cloud. The calculated stage vs. volume for the weir pool is shown in Fig. 5. The data are fit by a quadratic equation (R^2 of 0.9996). The flow across the weir is calculated from the weir rating curve. Prior to flow through the notch, the flow, Q , was calculated from the change in pool volume:

$$Q = \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{(V_t - V_{t-1})}{\Delta t}$$

where Δt is the time step and V_t and V_{t-1} are the volumes of water in the pool at time t and at the previous time step $t - 1$. Water levels were recorded at 30 min time steps.

Calculation of the flow filling the pool assumes that the loss to evaporation or through the soil column is slow relative to the stream flow. The method does not measure flow during recession – to do so would require determination of infiltration rates at different pool levels and correction for evaporation.

Stream response to precipitation is rapid, even for rain events of less than 10 mm (Fig. 6). For example, 8.4 mm of rain starting at 06:40 LST 19 December 2010 produced a measurable response in stream level by 07:30 LST. Flow did not reach the weir notch at any time for this event so the event would be missed by conventional gauging. Inclusion of the flow filling the weir pool provides a more complete picture of the surface water response to precipitation events. Overall the flow filling the pool is a minor component of the measured stream flow. However, the pool filling is significant for those small rain events during periods of discontinuous surface water flow and would be more significant at other sites with greater pool volumes.




Fig. 4. Calculated areal extent of weir-pool. (A) Water level at bottom of V-notch in weir. (B) Water level at top of V-notch in weir.




Fig. 5. Pool level vs. volume relationship for the weir pool at levels lower than exit flow, showing volumes calculated from the laser scan and the polynomial fit to the data. The bottom of the weir notch is at a height of 0.1 m on the stick gauge.

5 Discussion

Ground based laser scan data have distinct advantages and disadvantages compared to conventional surveys. Conventional transit surveys and differentially corrected GPS surveys do not provide as much spatial detail and are generally slower in open terrain. Integrated GPS location of laser scanner positions is an option that was not used for this work. It is also possible to set up the scanner on known benchmarks or to scan readily identifiable benchmark locations to tie the scan points to global coordinates. Local coordinates were suitable for this work but a more rigorous survey procedure would have simplified the analysis somewhat. In particular, survey accuracy is more difficult to assess in the absence of ties to benchmarks or other known points.

Airborne lidar is well suited to supplying topographic information but has disadvantages of expense and the difficulty of acquiring data on specific dates, compared to our ground




Fig. 6. Stream flow (30 min intervals) and rainfall (10 min intervals) at the catchment weir site. Open red circles show stream flow from the weir rating curve. Solid blue diamonds show flow calculated from increases in pool volume at levels lower than the weir notch.

based scans. The weir pool was surveyed when empty and this would be difficult to coordinate with a lidar survey. However, lidar data are generally post processed to attribute the return points as ground returns or other returns (e.g. from vegetation or structures), which would ease construction of the local digital terrain model. It should be noted that our terrain elevations from outside the weir pool were affected by the site infrastructure such as the communications tower. There may be a similar effect from dense vegetation within the pool, but inspection of the point cloud suggests that it is likely to be minor. Coveney and Fotheringham (2011) present a study of the errors introduced in digital terrain models from dense vegetation depth/occlusion of the bare ground surface in terrestrial laser scanner measurements. A similar assessment was not possible here but there are several indications that residual errors after developing the terrain model are considerably lower in our study. First, our 240 m² scan area is considerably smaller than their 8.5 ha and we scanned most of the area from multiple directions, decreasing the likelihood of occlusion by vegetation. In addition, the vegetation in our study area is almost certainly less dense. The simplified gridding approach was suitable for our purpose.

Small flows into the weir pool without overflow through the notch may be important measures of the rewetting of ephemeral flow systems during drier months. That rewetting is hypothesised to be a positive effect for maintaining ecosystem health in downstream reaches. Although tree cover is known to increase evapotranspiration relative to annual pasture and is likely to decrease stream flow in most catchments, the effect of the land cover on the timing of flow is poorly understood. The laser scanner analysis is useful in evaluating the dynamics of flow in ephemeral systems.

6 Conclusions

The laser scanner data provide a convenient way to analyse flow at and around a weir gauge. The detailed terrain analysis would be helpful in designing weirs in areas of relatively flat topography and would have avoided the need to install sheet piling to extend the southern wing of the structure. Volume analysis allows calculations of flow into the weir at levels that do not overflow the weir notch. Weirs in ephemeral systems will always underestimate early flow while the pool fills up to the notch height. Whether that flow is significant depends on the nature of the study. Our study concerns the dynamics of surface water flows in response to precipitation under different land uses as well as the total water budget, so the small flow events are of interest.

The laser scanner is a relatively fast and simple tool to use for detailed terrain analysis. From an end user perspective, the lack of defined ground returns, as typically supplied with lidar data, adds to the complexity of analysis although the simplifying assumption of using the minimum return height for ground elevation was suitable here. Future work will incorporate protocols for georeferencing the scan data to ease integration with other survey products and to ease the use of the data in geographic information system software tools.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by the State of Victoria. The study would not have been possible without the support of landholders, Iven, Iris and Marcia Field and the plantation management, McEwen's Contracting Pty Ltd.

Edited by: A. Ghadouani

References

- Austin, J., Zhang, L., Jones, R., Durack, P., Dawes, W., and Hair-sine, P.: Climate change impact on water and salt balances: an assessment of the impact of climate change on catchment salt and water balances in the Murray-Darling Basin, Australia, *Climatic Change*, 100, 607–631, 2010.
- Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology: Climate Data Online: <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/index.shtml>, last access: 14 December 2011.
- Benyon, R. G.: Water use by tree plantations in the Green Triangle: A review of current knowledge, Glenelg Hopkins Catchment Management Authority and CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products, Hamilton, VIC, 2002.
- Benyon, R. G., Theiveyanathan, S., and Doody, T. M.: Impacts of tree plantations on groundwater in south-eastern Australia, *Aust. J. Bot.*, 54, 181–192, doi:10.1071/BT05046, 2006.
- Benyon, R. G., Doody, T. M., Theiveyanathan, S., and Koul, V.: Plantation forest water use in southwest Victoria, *Forest & Wood Products Australia*, Melbourne, VIC, 2009.
- Best, A., Zhang, L., McMahon, T., Western, A., and Vertessy, R.: A critical review of paired catchment studies with reference to seasonal flows and climatic variability, CSIRO, Canberra, ACT, 2003.
- Bosch, J. M. and Hewlett, J. D.: A review of catchment experiments to determine the effect of vegetation changes on water yield and evapotranspiration, *J. Hydrol.*, 55, 3–23, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(82)90117-2, 1982.
- Bubb, K. A. and Croton, J. T.: Effects on catchment water balance from the management of *Pinus* plantations on the coastal lowlands of south-east Queensland, Australia, *Hydrol. Process.*, 16, 105–117, doi:10.1002/hyp.310, 2002.
- Cobby, D. M., Mason, D. C., and Davenport, I. J.: Image processing of airborne scanning laser altimetry data for improved river flood modelling, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote S.*, 56, 121–138, doi:10.1016/S0924-2716(01)00039-9, 2001.
- Coveney, S. and Stewart Fotheringham, A.: Terrestrial laser scan error in the presence of dense ground vegetation, *Photogramm. Rec.*, 26, 307–324, doi:10.1111/j.1477-9730.2011.00647.x, 2011.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment: Western Region Sustainable Water Strategy, Victoria Department of Sustainability and Environment, Melbourne, VIC, 2011.
- Devito, K. J. and Hill, A. R.: Sulphate dynamics in relation to groundwater-surface water interactions in headwater wetlands of the southern Canadian Shield, *Hydrol. Process.*, 11, 485–500, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199704)11:5<485::AID-HYP455>3.0.CO;2-F, 1997.
- Fitzpatrick, R., Marvanek, S., Shand, P., Merry, R., and Thomas, M.: Acid Sulfate Soil Maps of the River Murray below Blanchetown (Lock 1) and Lakes Alexandrina and Albert when water levels were at pre-drought and current drought conditions, CSIRO, Canberra, ACT, 2008.
- Frazier, P., Ryder, D., McIntyre, E., and Stewart, M.: Understanding Riverine Habitat Inundation Patterns: Remote Sensing Tools and Techniques, *Wetlands*, 32, 225–237, doi:10.1007/s13157-011-0229-9, 2012.
- Gustard, A. and Demuth, S.: Manual on low-flow estimation and prediction, in: *Operational Hydrology Report No. 50*, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 2008.
- Hart, B. T., Hines, T., and Coller, B. A.: Geochemistry of Cu, Zn and Fe in the Tambo River, Australia, II. Field investigation under low-flow conditions, *Mar. Freshwater Res.*, 59, 80–88, doi:10.1071/MF07057, 2008.
- Hladyz, S., Watkins, S. C., Whitworth, K. L., and Baldwin, D. S.: Flows and hypoxic blackwater events in managed ephemeral river channels, *J. Hydrol.*, 401, 117–125, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.02.014, 2011.
- Isbell, R. F.: *The Australian Soil Classification*. Revised Edition, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, VIC, 2002.
- Katz, G. L., Denslow, M. W., and Stromberg, J. C.: The Goldilocks effect: intermittent streams sustain more plant species than those with perennial or ephemeral flow, *Freshwater Biol.*, 57, 467–480, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02714.x, 2012.
- Lane, P. N. J., Best, A. E., Hickel, K., and Zhang, L.: The response of flow duration curves to afforestation, *J. Hydrol.*, 310, 253–265, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.01.006, 2005.
- Schumann, G., Matgen, P., Cutler, M. E. J., Black, A., Hoffmann, L., and Pfister, L.: Comparison of remotely sensed water stages from LiDAR, topographic contours and SRTM, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote S.*, 63, 283–296, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2007.09.004, 2008.
- Smakhtin, V. U.: Low flow hydrology: a review, *J. Hydrol.*, 240, 147–186, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00340-1, 2001.
- Stubbington, R., Wood, P. J., and Boulton, A. J.: Low flow controls on benthic and hyporheic macroinvertebrate assemblages during supra-seasonal drought, *Hydrol. Process.*, 23, 2252–2263, doi:10.1002/hyp.7290, 2009.
- Tamari, S., Mory, J., and Guerrero-Meza, V.: Testing a near-infrared Lidar mounted with a large incidence angle to monitor the water level of turbid reservoirs, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote S.*, 66, S85–S91, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2011.01.009, 2011.
- Wehr, A. and Lohr, U.: Airborne laser scanning – an introduction and overview, *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote S.*, 54, 68–82, doi:10.1016/S0924-2716(99)00011-8, 1999.
- Wooldridge, S. A., Kalma, J. D., and Walker, J. P.: Importance of soil moisture measurements for inferring parameters in hydrologic models of low-yielding ephemeral catchments, *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 18, 35–48, doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(02)00038-5, 2003.
- Zhang, L., Dawes, W. R., and Walker, G. R.: Response of mean annual evapotranspiration to vegetation changes at catchment scale, *Water Resour. Res.*, 37, 701–708, doi:10.1029/2000wr900325, 2001.