Addressing drought conditions under current and future climates in the Jordan River region
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Abstract. The Standardized Precipitation–Evaporation Index (SPEI) was applied in order to address the drought conditions under current and future climates in the Jordan River region located in the southeastern Mediterranean area. In the first step, the SPEI was derived from spatially interpolated monthly precipitation and temperature data at multiple timescales: accumulated precipitation and monthly mean temperature were considered over a number of timescales – for example 1, 3, and 6 months. To investigate the performance of the drought index, correlation analyses were conducted with simulated soil moisture and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) obtained from remote sensing. A comparison with the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), i.e., a drought index that does not incorporate temperature, was also conducted. The results show that the 6-month SPEI has the highest correlation with simulated soil moisture and best explains the interannual variation of the monthly NDVI. Hence, a timescale of 6 months is the most appropriate when addressing vegetation growth in the semi-arid region. In the second step, the 6-month SPEI was derived from three climate projections based on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change emission scenario A1B. When comparing the period 2031–2060 with 1961–1990, it is shown that the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions is projected to increase strongly. To address the impact of drought on the agricultural sector, the irrigation water demand during certain drought years was thereafter simulated with a hydrological model on a spatial resolution of 1 km. A large increase in the demand for irrigation water was simulated, showing that the agricultural sector is expected to become even more vulnerable to drought in the future.

1 Introduction

Drought is an extended period with water deficits. Different kinds of drought include meteorological, hydrological and agricultural drought (Wilhite and Glantz, 1985). A meteorological drought is related to abnormally low precipitation, typically over an extended period of time. Although factors like temperature, wind speed and soil conditions also are of importance, a meteorological drought can trigger both hydrological droughts (abnormally low lake levels or river discharge) and agricultural droughts (abnormally low soil moisture). In many parts of the world, drought is a recurrent natural hazard that has environmental, social and economic impacts (Wilhite, 2005). This wide range of impacts can be simplified and quantified with a drought index. Through such an index, current and past drought events can be compared and essential communication between scientist, decision makers and the public facilitated (Wilhite et al., 2000).

Different indices have been used to monitor the spatiotemporal characteristics of soil moisture drought (Sims et al., 2002; Svboda et al., 2002; Vergni and Todisco, 2011). Worldwide, several drought indices are used; their advantages and disadvantages are discussed by Mishra and Sing (2010) and Quiring (2009), among others. The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI; Palmer, 1965) derives the soil moisture (as an indicator of agricultural drought) by setting up the soil water balance based on data regarding temperature, precipitation and the available water holding capacity of the soil. The drought index is widely used and applied by among others the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), who produces monthly maps of US drought conditions. The PDSI addresses mainly long-term droughts; another index originating from the PDSI is the...
Crop Moisture Index (CMI; Palmer, 1968). The CMI is in general applied in order to address short-term droughts on a week-to-week basis. An advantage of the PDSI and CMI is that they can address the soil moisture status of a region. However, this also implies high data requirements and a high number of defined terms (Alley, 1984; Paulo and Pereira, 2006). Hence, there is also a need for simpler drought indices, relying on fewer data and fewer calculations (Hayes et al., 1999; Smith et al., 1993). The SPI has been recommended by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO, 2011) for characterizing meteorological droughts. An advantage of the SPI is the low amount of required input parameters (only precipitation). Some critics are concerned that the index does not perform well at precipitation near zero (Wu et al., 2007) and that the effect of evapotranspiration is not considered (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). To address the latter, there is also a further development of SPI, the Standardized Precipitation–Evaporation Index (SPEI), which incorporates temperature for the calculation of potential evapotranspiration (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). In a global assessment of different drought indices, Vicente-Serrano et al. (2012) conclude that the SPI and SPEI are superior to the PDSI in capturing the drought impacts on hydrological, agricultural and ecological variables.

Although the link between precipitation, soil moisture and vegetation growth is widely recognized (Farrarr et al., 1994; Gu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2007), the soil moisture data are often limited to point measurements. Higher data availability is one of the reasons why other studies have focused on the indirect relationship between precipitation and remotely sensed data on vegetation in arid and semi-arid regions (Anyamba and Tucker, 2005; Fabricante et al., 2009; Nicholson and Farrarr, 1994; Nezlin et al., 2005; Schmidt and Karnieli, 2000). Such an analysis offers the opportunity to address the performance of a drought index; a positive correlation between a drought index and a vegetation index informs about the drought index’s capability of addressing the agricultural response to drought (Ji and Peters, 2003; Quiring and Ganesh, 2010; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2012). One of the most used vegetation indices is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) derived from spectral reflectance in the near-infrared (NIR) and visible red regions according to

\[
NDVI = \frac{(\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{Red}})}{(\rho_{\text{NIR}} + \rho_{\text{Red}})}.
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{NIR}}\) and \(\rho_{\text{Red}}\) are the reflectance at the NIR and visible red bands. The NDVI can be obtained from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors, among others (Tucker et al., 2005), and be used as an estimate of biomass and net primary production (Leprieur et al., 2000).

Many studies have addressed future drought conditions and water stress (Burke and Brown, 2010; Dai, 2011; Milano et al., 2012; Li et al., 2008). By applying a well-performing drought index, not only the characteristics of current and past drought events can be determined, but in combination with climate projections, future conditions can also be addressed. Several authors have made projections of future changes in the eastern Mediterranean climate by applying global climate models (GCMs) and regional climate models (RCMs). Krichak et al. (2011) applied an ECHAM5/MPI-OM RegCM3 model and noticed a significant trend of decreasing winter precipitation in near-coastal areas and an increasing trend in air temperature for all seasons. Samuel et al. (2011) applied the ECHAM5 and HadCM3 GCMs in combination with the RegCM3 and MM5 RCMs. Their results showed that the maximum daily temperature is expected to increase by 2.5–3°C and that the lengths of warm and dry spells are expected to be prolonged by 2021–2050 compared with the control period of 1961–1990. Smiatek et al. (2011) applied the ECHAM-MM5 and HADCM3-MM5 projections and identified a 2.1°C mean increase of the annual mean temperature by 2031–2060 compared with 1961–1990. They also identified a drop in the annual mean precipitation by 11.5%. Together, the application of regional climate models has shown that the eastern Mediterranean climate is projected to become warmer and drier. In order to develop sound water management strategies and preparedness for drought, it is meaningful to address the drought characteristics under a changing climate. Further applications of a hydrological model can thereafter be used to simulate the impact of drought on the agricultural sector in a more detailed manner. Considering that this sector accounts for 58% of the regional water use (FAO, 2009), the results would especially be useful for stakeholders and decision makers when developing a long-term regional drought preparedness plan.

The overall objective of this study is to address both the characteristics of current and future droughts, as well as the agricultural response to drought in the wider Jordan River region. First of all, this requires a drought index that can explain the spatiotemporal variation of vegetation. Although several drought indices may be capable of doing that, this study focuses on the performance of multiple timescales of the precipitation- and temperature-based drought index SPEI.
as well as the precipitation-based SPI. Also drought characteristics are addressed; the drought indices are applied both on climate model control data as well as on climate data received from future projections. Comparisons are also made with observed reference data. Thereafter, a hydrological model is used to simulate the irrigation water demand (IWD) during extreme droughts. In that way, the simulated IWD can be used to address the impact of climate change on the agricultural sector. More in detail, the focus is on the following: (1) the correlation between multiple timescales of SPEI and SPI, simulated soil moisture and monthly NDVI in order to identify the SPEI and SPI timescale that best explains the vegetation dynamics in the wider Jordan River region; (2) deriving the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions under current and future climates; and (3) simulating the IWD during a current and future drought year in order to address the agricultural impacts of a changing climate.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study region

The present study region covers the Jordan River basin and its surroundings (Fig. 1). The area extends from north of Lake Kinneret to the Gulf of Aqaba in the south, and from the Mediterranean coast to the Jordanian Highlands in the east. Altogether, the study area covers around 96 000 km² and includes Israel, the State of Palestine, and a major part of Jordan, as well as parts of Lebanon, Syria, and Egypt. The region is characterized by a wet season between October and April, whereas the rest of the year receives no precipitation. On an annual basis, the potential evaporation greatly exceeds precipitation. The interannual variability of winter precipitation is strong, and abnormally low rainfall recurrently triggers drought events resulting in economic losses, lowered agriculture productivity, reduced stream flow, and falling lake levels (Inbar and Bruins, 2004). In addition, the spatial variability of precipitation is high; convective storms are common, and two significant precipitation gradients exist (Ben-Gai et al., 1998). The first gradient is in the west–east direction with higher precipitation in proximity to the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1). The hilly regions, stretching from Lebanon in the north to the Gulf of Aqaba in the south, give rise to an orographic lift of moist westerly winds, which results in a dry eastern lee side (Dahamsheh and Aksoy, 2007). The second gradient is in the north–south direction. The Golan Heights, stretching northwards from the eastern side of Lake Kinneret, have humid conditions with an annual precipitation of up to 900 mm. Around the Gulf of Aqaba, the conditions are hyper-arid. The area receives dry winds from the Sinai desert, and the annual precipitation is less than 50 mm (Dahamsheh and Aksoy, 2007).

The study area can be divided into three sub-regions having arid (annual precipitation < 250 mm yr⁻¹), semi-arid (250–450 mm yr⁻¹) and sub-humid (> 450 mm yr⁻¹) conditions (Fig. 1). The conditions are unsuitable for rain-fed agriculture in the arid sub-region (Bruins, 1999). In the moister sub-regions, rain-fed farming is possible only during the winters. This study employs a land use map with a spatial resolution of 1 km, which originates from the Global Land Cover Characterization (GLCC) (Loveland et al., 2000; Menzel et al., 2009) and is based on the year 2000. Since this study addresses the impact of climate change and not those of land-use change, the land use map was kept static during the analyses. Dominating land uses are shrubland (covering 41% of the study area), barren land (32%), mosaic (10%), which is a mixture between natural vegetation and crops, cropland (9%), cereals (3%), grazing land (1%), grassland (1%), and urban areas (1%). As this study addresses agricultural drought, it focuses on the three major agricultural classes: cereals, cropland, and mosaic. The natural vegetation shrubland is also included for a comparison.
2.2 SPEI and SPI

The drought index SPEI (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010) is a further development of the SPI described in detail by McKee et al. (1993), Guttman (1999) and Bordi et al. (2001). The focus of this paper is on the SPEI; nonetheless, comparisons are conducted with the SPI. The original SPI uses long-term precipitation series, preferably not shorter than 30 yr, as only input (McKee et al., 1993). The SPEI instead requires a series of the climate water balance (precipitation – potential evaporation) as input (Beguería and Vicente-Serrano, 2013). Here we applied the Thornthwaite equation (Thornthwaite, 1948), which employs the latitude and the monthly average temperature in order to estimate the potential evaporation. To begin the calculations of the SPEI and SPI, a probability density function is fitted to the long-term input series for a certain timescale of interest. The fit is conducted separately for each month of the year, and the series is a running time series of for example a 1-, 3- or 6-month cumulative climate water balance for the SPEI and cumulative series of precipitation for the SPI. The probability density function is thereafter transformed to a standard normal distribution with the mean value of 0 and standard deviation of 1. According to the original definition by McKee et al. (1993), drought conditions occur when the SPI is continuously negative and falls below a certain threshold value. The authors suggested a threshold value of −1 for moderate drought; the SPEI and SPI values are expected to be below this threshold 15.9 % (1 standard deviation) of the time.

For the purpose of this study, the SPEI and SPI were calculated with the SPEI R package (Beguería and Vicente-Serrano, 2013). When calculating the SPEI, the log-logistic probability density function was used. When deriving the SPI, the gamma probability density function was instead employed. Both the SPEI and SPI were applied on gridded data where each 1 km × 1 km pixel acted as a single measurement point.

2.3 Time series of SPEI, SPI and NDVI

Precipitation and temperature were interpolated to a spatial resolution of 1 km by using data obtained for the period 1961–2001 from more than 130 precipitation gauges and around 50 temperature sensors. The spatial resolution was chosen to coincide with the land use map. To account for the strong climatic gradients and an irregular spatial distribution of the stations, a multiple regression analysis, described in detail in Menzel et al. (2009) and Wimmer et al. (2009), was applied. Based on the daily data availability, data characteristics and possible spatial trends, the method automatically identifies a suitable interpolation method (universal kriging, ordinary kriging, ordinary least squares interpolation or inverse distance weighting) for each day and parameter. Thereafter, the interpolated daily precipitation and temperature grids were aggregated into monthly totals and monthly mean values, respectively. These grids served as input to the SPEI and SPI.

In order to identify the most appropriate SPEI and SPI timescale, the drought indices were applied on short timescales (1, 2, and 3 months), moderate timescales (6, 9, and 12 months), as well as long timescales (18 and 24 months) for each pixel separately. During the SPEI and SPI calculations, the input series were then accumulated to this timescale and compared with the corresponding period in the long-term climatic series. As an example, to derive the 3-month SPEI value of March 2000 for a pixel, the total climate water balance of January, February and March 2000 was compared (standardized) with long-term time series of January–March climate water balance for the same pixel. Throughout the whole study, the gridded observed data for 1961–1990 were used as reference data. Hence, the observed data were used for standardization. The final monthly SPEI and SPI data sets had a spatial resolution of 1 km.

Biweekly NDVI data are available from the Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS) NDVI (Pinzon et al., 2005; Tucker et al., 2005) processed from daily AVHRR images. The global data set has a spatial resolution of 8 km and has been corrected for calibration, view geometry, volcanic aerosols, and other factors not associated with vegetation change. To be compatible with the temporal resolution of the SPEI and SPI, monthly NDVI values were retrieved from the biweekly GIMMS NDVI by computing the average of the two images. In order to address the relationship between the drought indices and NDVI, a technique applied by Ji and Peters (2003) was used. They showed that the relationship between SPI and NDVI can be explained with a linear relationship as long as the seasonality is taken into account, for example, by conducting correlation analyses individually for each month of the year. Because the relationship between precipitation and vegetation development differs between plant species (Rosenthal et al., 1987), the analyses were conducted separately for different land uses. First, the average SPEI, SPI and NDVI values of all pixels in each land use were determined for each month. Thereafter, the relationship between the aggregated parameters was evaluated for each month of the year according to the correlation coefficient and the p value. The SPEI and SPI timescale resulting in the highest correlation with NDVI was seen as the most appropriate one. The regression analyses were conducted for the years 1982–2001, because for this period precipitation, temperature and NDVI data were available. As the summers are completely dry and vegetation shows clear phenological phases, the analysis was limited to the main growing season (January to May). Furthermore, the applied drought indices have a problem with fitting a probability density function to periods with almost no precipitation (Wu et al., 2007).
2.4 Climate projections

Once the SPEI and SPI had been compared and the most suitable timescale had been identified based on regression analysis between the drought indices, simulated soil moisture and NDVI, data from three climate projections were applied in order to address future droughts. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has prepared several emission scenarios in order to address uncertainties in global development. In the present study, we apply the emission scenario A1B, which describes a world with a very rapid economic growth and where energy is generated both from fossil fuels and from alternative energy sources (IPCC, 2007). Three combinations of a GCM and RCM, prepared within the GLOWA Jordan River Project (http://www.glowa-jordan-river.de/), were considered: ECHAM5-MM5 and HadCM3-MM5 (Samuels et al., 2011; Smiatek et al., 2011) delivered from the Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research – Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU) in Karlsruhe, Germany, and ECHAM5-RegCM3 (Krichak et al., 2010, 2011) delivered from the Tel Aviv University (TAU), Israel. Not all RCMs are appropriate for each region (Krichak et al., 2010). The applied RCMs, however, have been adapted and optimized for the eastern Mediterranean region (Krichak et al., 2005, 2007).

The climate projections have spatial resolutions of 18–25 km. From the projections, daily precipitation and temperature data were disaggregated to a spatial resolution of 1 km by applying a linear interpolation between the center points of each grid cell. Following this, monthly values were retrieved, and the best performing drought index was applied to the climate model control run (1961–1990) and future projections (2031–2060) by using the gridded observed data 1961–1990 as a reference. In order to compare the different time periods with regards to drought, the SPEI and SPI probability density functions were derived by using all pixels for all months (altogether 96,318 pixels × 360 months for each time period). By applying this method, it was possible to derive the percentage of time of moderate drought (SPEI/SPI < −1.0), severe drought (SPEI/CSI < −1.5) and extreme drought (SPEI/CSI < −2.0) conditions.

2.5 Hydrological model

TRAIN is a physically based hydrological model that has focus on the soil–vegetation–atmosphere interface. It is based on comprehensive field studies regarding the water and energy balance of different surface types, including natural vegetation and agricultural land (Menzel, 1997a, b). Recently, the model has been calibrated with evapotranspiration measured in the semi-arid Yatir Forest, Israel (Hausinger, 2009), a research site operated by the Weizmann Institute of Science. Furthermore, the model has been applied in several studies focusing on the water balance in the wider Jordan River region (Menzel et al., 2009; Menzel and Törnros, 2012; Törnros and Menzel, 2014). The model requires input data on precipitation, temperature, wind speed, radiation, and air humidity. These data were available both from meteorological stations and from climate projections, and were prepared just as the precipitation and temperature grids. TRAIN also requires information regarding land use and the water holding capacities of the soils. These data were available from the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme GLCC (Loveland et al., 2000; Menzel et al., 2009) and Schacht et al. (2011), respectively.

Based on the necessary input data, TRAIN simulates soil moisture, evapotranspiration, snow accumulation/melt, runoff and percolation. For agricultural areas, TRAIN can also deliver information regarding the irrigation water demand (IWD). The model presumes that optimal crop growth takes place when the soil is saturated to field capacity. When the simulated soil moisture drops below a certain threshold level, the model simulates irrigation until optimal plant conditions (field capacity) are reached. The derived IWD is of potential value. In reality, and especially during droughts and water shortages, a sufficient amount of water might not be allocated to agriculture. In the present study, TRAIN was applied to simulate soil moisture for the sake of addressing the SPEI/CSI–soil moisture–NDVI relation. In addition, the model was also applied in order to simulate the IWD during average reference conditions and drought years. The latter was defined as the year having the highest drought magnitude (monthly accumulated negative SPEI/CSI over the year) according to McKee et al. (1993). The result can be used as an indicator of the drought vulnerability of the region. The higher the IWD, the more threatened the agricultural sector becomes because more water is required to sustain (optimal) vegetation growth.

3 Results

3.1 Spatiotemporal variability of NDVI

To demonstrate the spatial variation of NDVI, the NDVI during vegetation peak in April 2000 is shown in Fig. 2a. It can be seen that vegetation has a spatial pattern that is clearly constrained by precipitation. In the semi-arid and sub-humid areas, the values peak above 0.60, whereas in the arid sub-region the values remain under 0.15. The figure also shows the vegetation phenology throughout the year for the considered land uses (Fig. 2b). By examining the years 1982–2001 and comparing the minimum and maximum mean NDVI (given as a monthly minimum and maximum mean value of all pixels in each land use, respectively), it can clearly be seen that the NDVI differs between years and that the values remain low for shrubland. The difference between the minimum and maximum mean NDVI is highest in the middle of the vegetation period, whereas the interannual variation is lower during senescence and the dry summer. The figure
also highlights a clear vegetation peak in March/April. By conducting regression analyses between NDVI and multiple timescales of the SPEI and SPI, it was tested whether the drought indices could explain these interannual variations in vegetation.

### 3.2 Correlation of SPEI, SPI and NDVI

For each considered land use (cereals, cropland, mosaic and shrubland), correlation analyses were conducted between multiple timescales of the SPEI/SPI, soil moisture and NDVI. Figure 3 shows some of the derived time series for cropland. By examining the 1-month SPEI, it becomes clear that the drought conditions quickly change between months and that the response in soil moisture is slower. This result in a moderate correlation between the parameters \( r = 0.41 \). Between the 3-month SPEI and soil moisture, the correlation is increased \( r = 0.67 \). Nonetheless, the highest correlation is obtained between the 6-month SPEI and soil moisture \( r = 0.77 \). By examining these time series, it can be seen that the response times to an event tend to be comparable for the 6-month SPEI and soil moisture. It can also be seen that the 6-month SPEI and NDVI have a correlation coefficient of 0.39. This relation was addressed more in detail by investigating the variations throughout the main growing season (January to May).

Figure 4 shows scatterplots of the NDVI and the 1-, 3- and 6-month SPEI (the other considered SPEI timescales are not plotted). The results demonstrate that little (significant) correlation is detected between NDVI and the 1-month SPEI. The relationship is furthermore only positive in March and April; during this month the \( p \) value (for the positive relations) is between 0.38 and 0.95. It is clear that the 3-month SPEI shows a higher correlation with NDVI. The correlation is strongest, and at times significant \( (p < 0.05) \), in January and during the vegetation peak in April/May. It can also be seen that a negative relation is obtained in February and March. Furthermore, the results demonstrate that the 6-month SPEI performs better in comparison to the shorter timescales. Every month induces a positive correlation between the 6-month SPEI and NDVI, and at the most, a correlation coefficient of 0.75 is obtained. In January the correlation is significant for cereals, and in February it is significant for shrubland. In March it is significant both for cereals and shrubland, and in April and May it tends to be significant for all land uses. From all the scatterplots, it can be seen that the relationship between the NDVI and SPEI changes with the different states of vegetation growth. In general, it can also be seen that the correlation between the two parameters is strongest around the vegetation peak.

To facilitate the evaluation of all considered SPEI timescales and allow a comparison with SPI, the 20 regression analyses (which were visualized with scatterplots) conducted for each timescale were evaluated with a box plot (Fig. 5). As the 1-month SPEI induces a negative correlation \( (r = -0.09) \) on average, the result once again indicates that the shortest timescale is not capable of monitoring vegetation growth. The 2-month SPEI and 3-month SPEI have a higher average correlation \( (r = 0.06 \) and \( r = 0.26, \) respectively), probably because there is a time lag between precipitation and vegetation growth, and the impact of water deficits on vegetation is cumulative (Ji and Peters, 2003). The same figure shows that all moderate timescales perform almost equally well; the 6-, 9-, and 12-month SPEIs have an average correlation coefficient of 0.49, 0.46, and 0.41, respectively. The box plot also reveals that the longer timescales tend to result in a lower correlation coefficient than the moderate timescales; the 18-month SPEI has an average correlation coefficient of 0.28, and the 24-month SPEI has a corresponding value of 0.22. In the figure, it can also be seen that the SPEI tends to perform slightly better than the SPI, which only uses precipitation as input. For the SPI, the moderately long timescales perform almost equally well, having an average correlation coefficient between 0.44 and 0.47.

Altogether, the 6-month SPEI best explains the interannual variability of the monthly NDVI. Therefore, this timescale was chosen as the most appropriate for assessing agricultural drought in the Jordan River region.

### 3.3 Droughts under current and future climate conditions

To address future droughts, the climate projections for 2031–2060 were used in comparison to the climate model control run 1961–1990. Figure 6 shows the projected changes in annual precipitation and temperature. The applied GCM–RCM
combinations all simulate a decrease of annual precipitation. For large areas, a decrease of between 10 to 20% is projected. The ECHAM5-RegCM3 simulates mainly a decrease in the semi-arid and sub-humid regions. There are also some local areas where increased precipitation is simulated, possibly owing to convective rainfall events (Samuels et al., 2011). Both the ECHAM5-MM5 and the HadCM3-MM5 simulate mainly a decrease in the southern arid parts. Although the spatial distributions of changes in annual precipitation differ slightly between the models, the results can give a sense of the range of the changes expected (Samuels et al., 2011). Furthermore, the projections give indications of a seasonal shift (not shown). Precipitation is projected to increase slightly during October to November, in the beginning of the wet season. During the mid-winter months of December to February, a clear reduction in total precipitation is simulated. For the ECHAM5-RegCM3, the reduction is large for all the midwinter months, whereas the ECHAM5-MM5 model simulates the strongest reduction in February and none in January. In contrast, the HadCM3-MM5 simulates a reduction in January’s precipitation and only minor changes in February. Furthermore, all climate projections predict a decrease in precipitation for March through May.

By 2031–2060, an increase of temperature by 1.5–2.0°C is simulated; the highest increase is simulated by HadCM3-MM5 followed by ECHAM5-RegCM3 and ECHAM5-MM5, respectively. As also noticed by Samuels et al. (2011), all models show a higher temperature increase inland than at the coast. The authors suggest that it could be related to cooling effects of sea breezes. When examining the simulated change of monthly mean temperature throughout the wet season, the results give an increase by 1.5–3°C (not shown). The increase tends to be highest in the beginning of the wet season. Increased temperature means a higher atmospheric evaporation demand and may have negative consequences for the agricultural sector.

In order to address the impact of climate change with regards to droughts, the probability density functions of the 6-month SPEI and the 6-month SPI were plotted both for the reference data and the climate projections. Additionally, the percentage of time (i.e., the percentage of months) with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions was derived. By examining the 6-month SPEI and the 6-month SPI (results given in brackets), Fig. 7 reveals that the reference data have a normal distribution with a mean value of 0.004 (0.022) and standard deviation of 0.980 (0.954). The ECHAM5-RegCM3 has a mean value of 0.066 (−0.008), and the ECHAM5-MM5 has a mean value of −0.095 (−0.347). Although these values tend to be close to zero, their standard deviations of 1.451 (1.503) and 1.365 (1.396), respectively, show that the climate models overestimate the amount of months with SPI less than −1 (i.e., the amount of months with either moderate, severe or extreme drought conditions). It can also be seen that the HadCM3-MM5 control run has a mean value of 0.755 (−0.378) and standard deviation of 1.412 (1.449). As a result, the control data underestimate (overestimate)
Fig. 4. Scatterplots between NDVI and multiple timescales of SPEI for chosen land uses. Each dot represents one year between 1982 and 2001. Also shown are the correlation coefficient $r$ and the $p$ value.

Fig. 5. Box plot for the correlation of NDVI and multiple timescales of SPEI and SPI; $r$ is the correlation coefficient. The figure is based on the months January to May, and the land uses cereals, cropland, mosaic and shrubland. Hence, altogether there are 20 values for each timescale.

Fig. 6. Projected relative changes in annual precipitation (upper row) and annual mean temperature (lower row) simulated by three climate models. The figure shows projected data for 2031–2060 in comparison with the climate model control run 1961–1990.

the drought conditions in comparison to the reference data. Although the climate model control runs show biases when compared to the reference data, a comparison between the future projections and the control run can nonetheless reveal an indication of the expected changes with regards to drought. A first glance shows that the future projections have shifted towards more negative values in comparison to the control data. This means that SPEI and SPI are expected to be below $-1$ more frequently.

Tables 1–2 show the percentage of time (equal to the percentage of months) with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions. When evaluating the 6-month SPEI and the 6-month SPI (once again given in brackets), the results show that moderate drought occurs 9.0 % (8.7 %) of the time for the reference data. Severe and extreme droughts occur 4.2 % (3.8 %) and 2.0 % (1.7 %) of the time, respectively. Altogether this sums to 15.2 % (14.2 %), which is slightly lower than the 15.9% that is expected for a normal distribution with

the mean 0 and standard deviation 1. By analyzing the climate model control data (1961–1990) with regards to moderate droughts, it can be seen that ECHAM5-RegCM3 gives that moderate drought conditions occur 9.1 % (9.4 %) of the time. For ECHAM5-MM5 the number is 10.2 % (11.6 %), and for the HADCM3-MM5 it is 5.2 % (11.5 %) of the time. Furthermore, the ECHAM5-RegCM3 results in severe and extreme drought conditions 6.3 % (6.8 %) and 7.7 % (9.2 %) of the time, respectively. Especially the extreme droughts are strongly overestimated. The same tendency can be seen for the ECHAM5-MM5, which gives that severe drought conditions occur 7.0 % (8.6 %) and extreme drought conditions
Table 1. The percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions as derived with the 6-month SPEI. The values are standardized according to the reference period 1961–1990 (observed data). “Ctrl.” refers to the climate model control run (1961–1990) and “Proj.” to the future projection (2031–2060).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−1.00 to −1.49</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
<td>13.0 %</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1.50 to 1.99</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
<td>12.7 %</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ −2.0</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>2.0 %</td>
<td>33.9 %</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.2 %</td>
<td>23.1 %</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions as derived with the 6-month SPI. The values are standardized according to the reference period 1961–1990 (observed data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−1.00 to −1.49</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
<td>11.5 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1.50 to 1.99</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
<td>8.8 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ −2.0</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
<td>13.1 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.2 %</td>
<td>30.5 %</td>
<td>33.4 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

occur 8.1 % (11.8 %) of the time. It can also be seen that the HADCM3-MM5 underestimates (overestimates) the severe droughts at a number of 3.0 % (8.8 %). Furthermore, the extreme droughts are overestimated, expecting to occur 2.5 % (13.1 %) of the time.

When comparing the future projections with the climate model control runs, the results show that a strong increase in the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions is expected. Moderate drought conditions are expected to occur 13.0 % (10.2 %), 13.2 % (12.0 %) and 12.9 % (11.7 %) of the time for the ECHAM5-RegCM3, ECHAM5-MM5 and HADCM3-MM5 models, respectively. A strong increase is given also for the severe drought conditions, which by 2031–2060 is expected to occur 12.7 % (7.8 %), 12.8 % (9.9 %) and 11.0 % (9.6 %) of the time for the respective projection. By analyzing the results, it can furthermore be seen that the extreme drought conditions are expected to occur much more often by 2031–2060. By applying the ECHAM5-RegCM3, ECHAM5-MM5 and HADCM3-MM5 models, it is estimated that extreme drought conditions will occur 33.9 % (12.5 %), 33.7 % (18.3 %) and 21.3 % (17.5 %) of the time, respectively.

3.4 Simulated irrigation water demand

To address the agricultural impacts of a changing climate, the IWD was simulated with TRAIN for the years 1961–1990 and by considering only agricultural land. The latter covers around 22 684 km² and comprises vegetables, fruits, cereals, and cropland, as well as a mixture of natural vegetation and crops. The model results show that the annual IWD in most of the area does not exceed 100 mm (Fig. 8a). A scattered pattern with values above 200 mm can also be noticed. This pattern is a result of the underlying land use map and the fact that each land use has an individual model parameterization for vegetation (leaf area index, vegetation height, number of vegetation layers, etc.). With more vegetation, transpiration occurs at a higher rate; hence, more irrigation is simulated in order to supply the crops with water. The average agricultural pixel has an annual IWD of 80 mm, which corresponds to a total water amount of 1815 Mm³ for all the agricultural land.

Additionally, the annual IWD was simulated for years having the highest drought magnitude (accumulated negative average monthly SPEI/SPI for a year). Focus is on the HadCM3-MM5, for which both the SPEI and SPI agree on which years are the most severe. The results imply a maximum annual drought magnitude of 5.09 (17.32) and 20.23 (19.09) for the control run and future projection, respectively. During these dry years, the mean annual IWD was simulated to be 97 mm (2200 Mm³) and 140 mm (3176 Mm³), respectively. This implies an increased irrigation demand of 21 % and 75 % compared with average reference conditions. As already mentioned, the IWD is a potential value that in reality and especially during droughts might not be fulfilled. Therefore, higher values induce higher vulnerability to drought. When examining the spatial distribution of the results, an increase of IWD is seen mainly in the semi-arid and central sub-humid area for the control run (Fig. 8b). For the future projection, an increase of IWD can instead be observed over the entire region (Fig. 8c). Altogether, the results demonstrate how the region is expected to become more vulnerable to drought.
Fig. 7. Probability density functions for the 6-month SPEI (upper row) and the 6-month SPI (lower row). Shown are three GCM/RCM combinations and observed reference data (ref. 1961–1990), the climate model control run (ctrl. 1961–1990) and a future projection (proj. 2031–2060). The negative vertical lines indicate the thresholds for moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions.

Fig. 8. Simulated annual irrigation water demand (IWD) in millimeters. The figure shows (a) the current reference conditions based on the period 1961–1990, (b) the annual IWD based on the HadCM3-MM5 climate model control run and a drought year in 1961–1990, and (c) the annual IWD based on the HadCM3-MM5 climate model and a drought year in 2031–2060. The figure shows agricultural land only, and an assumption of no land use change has been made.

4 Discussion

A time lag between rainfall and vegetation growth is expected (Ji and Peters, 2003). Therefore a lack of correlation between the 1-month SPI and NDVI is not surprising. However, it is interesting to see that the relationship between the 1-month SPEI and NDVI tends to be negative. One explanation for this might be that NDVI is more closely related to the rainfall of the previous month than to the current rainfall. Another explanation could be related to that the SPEI and SPI has problems in fitting a probability density function to precipitation at or close to zero precipitation (Wu et al., 2007). The time lag between precipitation and vegetation response differs between vegetation types and regions, and the soil’s ability to store water (Quiring and Ganesh, 2010; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2001). In this study, it was indicated that the simulated soil moisture reacts relatively slowly to drought, and that the soil does not dry out in between two rainfall events during winter. As a result, the highest correlation with simulated soil moisture was obtained for the 6-month SPEI. Another reason why the 6-month SPEI performs better than the shorter timescales could be related to the importance of the early season (October) precipitation (Ben-Gai et al., 1993; Otterman et al., 1990), whose amount
is not incorporated in the 3-month SPEI from January and onwards. Later in the growing season, another contributing factor might be related to the importance of precipitation in the mid-winter months of December to February in which about 65% of annual precipitation falls (Goldreich, 1995). It is also interesting to see that the longer SPEI timescales perform worse than the moderately long ones. This indicates that the vegetation growth is not influenced by the previous wet season’s rainfall amounts. The reason for this might be linked to the dry summers during which the high cumulative evaporation rate might dry the soils out, irrespective of the soil moisture content at the beginning of the season. It is also interesting to see that SPI performs almost just as well as the SPEI. The index could therefore be applied in studies where temperature data are missing.

The study also addresses the current and future climates by applying three climate projections. The performance of these climate projections for the current conditions has been evaluated by Samuels et al. (2011). The authors compared the output of the climate models with the same gridded data set on observed precipitation that was applied in the present study. In comparison to observed data, the RCMs tend to underestimate the annual mean precipitation by 5–10% and slightly overestimate the consecutive dry days and the number of days with very heavy precipitation (> 20 mm day⁻¹). In this study, it further becomes clear that the climate models tend to overestimate the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions. An exception is the HadCM3-MM5 model, which for the 6-month SPEI and extreme droughts delivers comparable values for the control and reference period (Table 1; Fig. 7). It should be noticed how this is due a shift of the probability density function towards higher values (Fig. 7). This shift is probably related to the underestimation of days with high temperature (i.e., the underestimation of potential evaporation) in the control run (not shown). Although it is clear that the climate models tend to overestimate the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions, a comparison between the future projections and the climate model control run is still valuable.

Even though the SPEI and SPI show a comparable performance when applied on observed reference data, their output largely differs when applied on data delivered from the climate models. Furthermore, for the future climates it cannot be determined if the SPEI or the SPI performs the best. On the one hand, the SPEI addresses the changes in temperature in addition to the changes in precipitation. On the other hand, in order to calculate the SPEI with the lowest amount of required data (precipitation and temperature), the Thornthwaite (1948) equation was applied. This is a simplified model of potential evaporation, and it has recently been recognized that its empirical relationship of temperature tends to overestimate the potential evaporation when extrapolated into the future (Dai, 2011, 2013; Sheffield, 2012). Hence, the choice of formula is probably contributing to the large disparities between the SPEI and SPI by 2031–2060. In order to better quantify the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions under future climates, forthcoming applications of the SPEI should also be based on the Penman–Monteith equation (Monteith, 1965) for the assessment of potential evaporation.

The simulated annual IWD of 1815 Mm³ agrees very well with statistics for the years 2000–2004. For this period, the annual water withdrawal for irrigation and livestock was estimated to be 1129 Mm³ in Israel, 89 Mm³ in the State of Palestine, and 611 Mm³ in Jordan (FAO, 2009). These numbers add up to a total water withdrawal of 1829 Mm³. Nevertheless, there are some uncertainties that can be discussed. Since reliable information regarding irrigation practices are missing, the IWD is simulated based on a pretty simple approach. Furthermore, data regarding multiple cropping and areas equipped for irrigation are in general not available. On the one hand, an overestimation of the area equipped for irrigation would overestimate the IWD, and, on the other hand, an underestimation of the areas that are doubled-cropped would underestimate the IWD. These potential errors could cancel each other out, and it still results in a good agreement between the simulated IWD and the statistics. Nonetheless, the model components are up to date with our present knowledge of the regional irrigation practices.

5 Conclusions

In a region where the interannual variability in precipitation is high and drought conditions recurrently occur, the benefits of a well performing drought index are many. A well-known drought index is the SPI, as well as the further development SPEI. Often, however, the drought indices are applied without further considerations to the most appropriate timescale. By conducting correlation analyses between multiple timescales of SPEI/ SPI, simulated soil moisture and NDVI received from remote sensing, it can be seen that the choice of SPEI/ SPI timescale is crucial. A too short timescale fails to recognize longer periods of abnormally wet or dry conditions, and a too long timescale includes redundant information. This study identifies the 6-month SPEI as the most appropriate index when addressing agricultural drought in the wider Jordan River region under the current climate. Although not extensively addressed, it is also shown that the SPI performs almost just as well and could be applied if temperature data are not available.

For the development of a long-term regional water plan and the preparedness for drought, information regarding future drought conditions is valuable. The hydrological response to a changing climate can be addressed by applying the SPEI/ SPI and a hydrological model on data received from several GCM/ RCM combinations. The results of this study show that the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought conditions tends to be overestimated when
comparing the climate model control run with observed reference data. Nonetheless, by comparing the future projections with the control run, it is shown that the percentage of time with moderate, severe and extreme drought is expected to increase strongly in the southeastern Mediterranean region. The increase is much larger when applying the SPEI than when using the SPI. It is also demonstrated that the intensified droughts lead to large increases in the annual IWD. Hence, the agricultural sector is expected to become even more vulnerable to drought in the future.

**Acknowledgements.** This study was conducted within the GLOWA Jordan River project funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), contract number 01LW05091. The authors are grateful to Stefan Schlaffer for providing spatially interpolated climate data and to Zhiyong Liu for helpful discussions. The authors would also like to thank the editor and reviewers for their constructive comments, which significantly helped to improve the manuscript.

Edited by: J. Seibert

**References**


Hausinger, I.: Application and validation of TRAIN at the Yatir forest, Diploma thesis, Ecological Modelling, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany, 112 pp., 2009.


IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): Climate change – the physical science basis, in: Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Avertit, K. B., Tignor, M., Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 996 pp., 2007.


Krichak, S., Breitgand, J., Samuels, R., and Alpert, P.: A double-resolution transient RCM climate change simulation experiment...


