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In the paper “Comparison of performance of tile drainage routines in SWAT 2009 and 2012 in an extensively tile-drained watershed in the Midwest” originally published in Hydrology and Earth System Sciences on 8 January 2018, several figure captions and tables require some corrections.

The captions of Figs. 1–6 have been corrected. Please find here the corrected captions:

Figure 1. Schematic of the LVR watershed with location of monitored subsurface, surface, and river stations.

Figure 2. Calibration and validation results for annual crop yields at sites B (a, b) and E (c, d). Obs and Rev. 615 represent Observed and Revision 615, respectively.

Figure 3. Calibration and validation results for monthly flow at sites B (a, b) and E (c, d), and monthly flow at site R5 (e, f). Obs, Prcp, and Revs. 528, 615, and 645 represent Observed, Precipitation, and Revisions 528, 615, and 645.

Figure 4. Calibration and validation results for monthly surface runoff at sites Bs (a, b) and Es (c, d). Obs and Revs. 615 and 645 represent Observed and Revisions 615 and 645, respectively.

Figure 5. Calibration and validation results for monthly sediment losses in surface runoff at sites Bs (a, b) and Es (c, d), and monthly sediment losses in flow at site R5 (e, f). Obs and Revs. 528, 615, and 645 represent Observed and Revisions 528, 615, and 645.

Figure 6. Calibration and validation results for monthly nitrate-nitrogen losses in tile flow at sites B (a, b) and E (c, d), and monthly nitrate-nitrogen losses in flow at site R5 (e, f). Obs and Revs. 528 and 615 represent Observed and Revisions 528 and 615, respectively.

Furthermore, the unit for T_BASE in Table 2 should be °C instead of °.
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In Table 3 the caption has been corrected and footnotes have been added. Please find here the correct caption:

**Table 3.** Calibrated values of adjusted parameters for hydrology, sediment, and nitrate-N calibration of SWAT at sites B, E, Bs, Es, and R5.

Also, a footnote was added in the following ranges:

- “CN II” should be “−0.2 to −0.1a”;  
- parameter “USLE_C 19” and “USLE_C 56” should be “USLE_C 19b” and “USLE_C 56b”;  
- the range for “USLE_C 19” should be “−0.25 to 0.25a”;  
- the range for “USLE_C 56” should be “−0.25 to 0.25a”.

The footnotes of Table 3 should be as follows:

a Negative value for CN II, and value for USLE_C19, and USLE_C56 is relative change to default value.  
b 19 and 56 represent corn and soybean, respectively.

For Table 4, definitions for Cali and Vali have been added: “Cali and Vali represent calibration and validation, respectively”.